TOWN OF MAMAKATING

PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

February 14, 2022

TOWN HALL

WURTSBORO, NEW YORK

PLANNING BOARD MEMBERS:

LORRENCE GREEN, Chairman

ALEX GOODMAN, Board Member

MORT STAROBIN, Board Member

JOHN LACEY, Board Member

RICHARD GLISSON, Board Member

ADRIENNE JENSEN, Alternate Board Member

KYRA PLATT, Building Department

MEGAN COMFORT, Building Department

J. BENJAMIN GAILEY, ESQ., Attorney

CHRISTIAN PAGGI, P.E., Engineer

MAX STACH, Planner

JERIC CORPORATION
Court Reporting Services
P.O. Box 385
Narrowsburg, New York 12764
(845) 252-3515

Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

AGENDA INDEX

2	A G E N D A I N D E X
3	Pages
4	Call Meeting to Order 4
5	Pledge of Allegiance 4
6	Acceptance of Minutes 4
7	McDonald Road 45 LLC Proposed Amended Site Plan 3 - 11
9	Sol Source Power (Steven Long) on Behalf of Michael Kuhl Installation of Approximately 5 mw Large Scale Community Solar Array
11	Beth Hamedrash Shaarie Yosher Institute, Inc. Proposed Amended Site Plan
12 13	David Moskowitz on Behalf of Ski Run Realty LLC (Camp Nitra) Proposed Amendment and Reapproval of Children's
14	Summer Camp 60 - 71
15	Close Meeting 70
16	
17	* * * *
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	COUNTY OF SULLIVAN : TOWN OF MAMAKATING PLANNING BOARD
3	X McDonald Road 45 LLC
4	Proposed Amended Site Plan
5	Tax Map Section 26; Block 1; Lot 27.1 Airport Development Zone
6	X
7	Town Hall Town of Mamakating
8	February 14, 2023 7:11 P.M.
9	PLANNING BOARD MEMBERS:
10	LORRENCE GREEN, Chairman
11	ALEX GOODMAN, Board Member
12	MORT STAROBIN, Board Member
	JOHN LACEY, Board Member
13	RICHARD GLISSON, Board Member
14	ADRIENNE JENSEN, Alternate Board Member
15	KYRA PLATT, Building Department
L6	MEGAN COMFORT, Building Department
17	J. BENJAMIN GAILEY, ESQ., Attorney
18	CHRISTIAN PAGGI, P.E., Engineer
19	MAX STACH, Planner
20	Also Present: Jay Samuelson, P.E. M.ASCE
21	On Behalf of Applicant
22	
23	JERIC CORPORATION
24	Court Reporting Services Post Office Box 385
25	Narrowsburg, New York 12764
	Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

McDONALD ROAD 45 LLC -1 - RE: CHAIRMAN GREEN: Greeting, all, to the February 14th, 2 3 2023 meeting of the Town of Mamakating Planning Board. 4 Can I have a motion to open the meeting? BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: 5 Motion. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Alex. 7 Second? BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN: Second. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mort. All in favor? 10 BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: 11 Aye. 12 BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN: 13 BOARD MEMBER LACEY: Aye. BOARD MEMBER GLISSON: 14 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. 16 (The motion was approved and carried.) 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Good. Adrienne will be a voting full member this evening. 18 19 Please join me in the Pledge of Allegiance. 20 (The Pledge of Allegiance was recited.) 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And again, I can see Kyra looking at 22 me, telling me to remind everyone to speak directly into the 23 microphone. 24 The first applicant this evening is Engineering 25 Properties on behalf of McDonald Road 45 LLC, proposed

amended site plan to expand existing warehouse. The property is located at 25-45 McDonald Road, Tax Map Section 26; Block 1; Lot 21.7. It is approximately 5.22 acres and lies within the Airport Development Zone.

MR. SAMUELSON: Good evening. Jay Samuelson,
Engineering Properties here representing the Applicant
tonight.

As you stated, is an approximately five acre parcel that has an existing 35,000 square foot warehouse on it. There's currently a paved parking area in the front for approximately 21 spaces and a gravel turnaround loading area at the rear of the building.

What we're proposing is to extend the warehouse to basically double in size. We'll have another 35,000 square foot addition. But we will clear up the loading area, make that loading area recessed between the existing building and the proposed building, providing turnaround areas for that, and modifying the existing stormwater that is on the site now to accommodate any of the additional impervious surface area.

Again, this is just a sketch submission to brief the Board on what we're proposing. Obviously, we need to prepare detailed design plans and stormwater analysis, but I just wanted to make the presentation to the Board, get some initial feedback, and then we can work forward working

through the design and answering the consultants' comments.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you.

Christian.

MR. PAGGI: So as the Applicant just mentioned, this was really a sketch plan so we outlined just generic comments.

They're going to need stormwater design, a bunch of extra details.

The only comments really worth noting, there were some improvements proposed within the town right-of-way so we're definitely going to look at that to make sure that there's no encroachments into the right-of-way.

And showing existing SDS on site and if there's going to be any impact relative to the expansion, if that SDS is going to need to be expanded along with the water supply.

So just responding to those, if they require any additional design. I'm sure we'll include that in the next submittal.

That was really it.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.

Max.

MR. STACH: Yes. So we did provide a list of the site plan requirements here for the Applicant's use. I'm sure they're aware of most of these, anyway.

We did want to see truck maneuverability diagrams to

make sure that these trucks, it looks like they're pulling in and then backing up, but just to show how that works.

The Applicant already indicated they require a variance from the parking requirement.

There is a requirement in the code that landscaped areas with minimum areas equal to 20 percent of the parking area will be required. There's a question because this is partially existing, partially expanded, whether that would need to be met. I think it probably would since it's such a substantial increase, so they should show that.

Additionally, the Town does have industrial and commercial district design guidelines. We know that those were not updated to reflect the new zoning districts.

However, this would probably be held to those requirements applicable to the LIO district or industrial district. We just want to see that documented, how this complies. It might require some more breaking up of this building. It's a fairly long building with a flat front, so maybe jogs or surface treatment to break up the monotony of the front facade. Elevations would need to be submitted to show how that's done.

We believe this is an unlisted action under SEQRA. It doesn't trip any of the Type I thresholds.

And lastly, we do not see any basis by which Sullivan

McDONALD ROAD 45 LLC -1 - RE: County GML review would be required. It's not within 500 2 feet of county resources or state resources that we could 3 4 identify. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anyone have any comments? 5 6 MR. SAMUELSON: I have one further question regarding 7 the variance. Do you guys do a referral to the ZBA from this 8 board or can I just make that separate application? MR. STACH: Yes, this board will refer you. 9 10 MR. SAMUELSON: Okay. I believe you could also get a referral from 11 MR. STACH: the Building Department, but I don't see any reason why you 12 13 would. MR. SAMUELSON: 14 Okay. MR. STACH: This is unlisted so we could do an 15 16 uncoordinated review, but it's probably just easier for us to 17 do coordinated review --18 MR. SAMUELSON: Right. 19 MR. STACH: -- under SEQRA. MR. SAMUELSON: 20 Okay. MR. STACH: One of the things that is going to likely 21 22 come up under SEQRA that you're going to have to look into, I don't think you'll necessarily have an issue with it, but 23

it's going to have to be investigated, is visual impacts from

the Long Path which is right on the ridge overlooking the

2.4

25

site.

MR. SAMUELSON: Uh-huh.

MR. STACH: You're right next to the much larger Kohl's warehouse so I don't think it's necessarily going to be an issue, but it is going to be identified in SEQRA. And also, the D and H Canal Trail, and you are located over the aquifer. So I think we'll want to understand how your operation will be protective of the aquifer and I'm sure that would be something that Mr. Paggi takes a look at, also.

MR. SAMUELSON: Okay, okay. So you would wait for that referral to the ZBA until we get further along with some of the detailed designs rather than doing it now or ...

MR. STACH: I would suggest, yes.

MR. SAMUELSON: I would rather do it now to know where I'm going to be with the variance on the parking before I get too detailed in design before I have to revise everything.

MR. STACH: Here's the thing. If you go now for parking and you submit an application and get a little down the road and find out you need something else, now you're going back.

MR. SAMUELSON: Okay.

MR. STACH: If you submit a full application, the Building Inspector can do a full code review, we can do our full reviews, and if you have to go to the ZBA you'll go --

25 | MR. SAMUELSON: At that point.

- RE: McDONALD ROAD 45 LLC -MR. STACH: -- at that point. MR. SAMUELSON: Okay MR. STACH: And the Planning Board can also, I don't know if they've done this in the past, but sometimes when boards refer things to the Zoning Board, they give some kind of impression favorable or unfavorable --CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes. MR. STACH: -- and so that might also be done. MR. SAMUELSON: Okay. If there's no other comments, then I think I'm good. I know where we have to go. appreciate it. Thank you for your time. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you for coming. (Time noted: 7:19 p.m.)

_

1 -

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings in the matter of McDonald Road 45 LLC, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

Jasemary a. Meyer

ROSEMARY A. MEYER

Date Transcribed: April 3, 2023

1	
2	COUNTY OF SULLIVAN : TOWN OF MAMAKATING PLANNING BOARD
3	X
4	Sol Source Power (Steven Long) On Behalf of Michael Kuhl Installation of Approximately 5 mw Large Scale
5	Community Solar Array Tax Map Section 72; Block 1; Lot 2.1
6	Residential Agricultural Zone
7	X
8	Town Hall Town of Mamakating February 14, 2023
9	7:19 P.M.
10	PLANNING BOARD MEMBERS:
11	LORRENCE GREEN, Chairman
12	ALEX GOODMAN, Board Member
13	MORT STAROBIN, Board Member
14	JOHN LACEY, Board Member
15	RICHARD GLISSON, Board Member
16	ADRIENNE JENSEN, Alternate Board Member
	KYRA PLATT, Building Department
17	MEGAN COMFORT, Building Department
18	J. BENJAMIN GAILEY, ESQ., Attorney
19	CHRISTIAN PAGGI, P.E., Engineer
20	MAX STACH, Planner
21	
22	Also Present: Adam Fishel, P.E., CPESC On Behalf of Applicant
23	JERIC CORPORATION
24	Court Reporting Services Post Office Box 385
25	Narrowsburg, New York 12764
	Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

CHAIRMAN GREEN: The next applicant is Sol Source Power, Steven Long, on behalf of Michael Kuhl, installation of approximately five megawatt large scale community solar array. The property is located on Upper Road, Tax Map Section 72; Block 1; Lot 2.1. It is approximately 68.09 acres and lies in the Residential Agricultural Zone.

MR. FISHEL: Good evening, everybody. Adam Fishel,
Marathon Engineering, civil engineer for the project. With
me tonight is Glen Land from Sol Source Power and Ryan
Abruzzo from our office. I decided, through Kyra's help, to
use the projector here tonight and hopefully, it's a bit
easier to see things.

We were last before you folks back in December before the Christmas holiday where we received, you know, several comments from both the Town Engineer and Town Planner, and also the Planning Board staff, as well. We've, since that submission, revised the layout a bit to have -- my pointer is not showing. We've revised the access road as it comes up into the site and turns toward the array to come in more on the south side of the array versus going up and traversing the slope on the east side to try to help with maintaining some of the vegetative buffering along the east side. This revision does trigger the need for a wetland permit from the Army Corps. We're expecting the wetlands to be federally

jurisdictional.

We are providing a bridge structure, a precast concrete deck panel, to try to traverse some of the wetland areas, but we are resulting in an unavoidable wetland impact that will require a wetland permit, as I said.

Through the previous meeting there were some comments and requests for the visual simulations, and through the Board's input we did collect photographs. Just due to the timing as to when we got feedback on where the photo locations needed to be and the holidays and getting the renderings done, we only were able to render two locations for tonight. But with further input, we can certainly refine those and have them formally resubmitted to you folks for review.

If you could go, Ryan, to the Google Earth image.

(Google Earth image was displayed on the screen.)

MR. FISHEL: So in red you can see that's the general location of the site. There were some photo locations requested of us on, pardon me, Nichol Drive, Karen Joy Drive, Jean, I think it's Jean Road, and then the one --

I can't see the one down there on the south. What's that one Ryan?

MR. ABRUZZO: Robinson and Maple.

MR. FISHEL: Robinson and Maple.

Looking at the viewshed from along Robinson Road, you know, that's the furthest viewpoint from the site and there's a lot of vegetation that's right up close to the road.

There's actually a rise in the terrain between Robinson and Jean Ridge Road that would likely provide a fair amount of visual buffering for those properties along Robinson.

If you can go back to the visuals there, Ryan. Thank you.

(Visuals was displayed on the screen.)

MR. FISHEL: So this is the one at the bottom of Karen Joy Drive and Nichol Road, existing. I can hand out some hard copies here. But that's looking up Nichol Road toward the site and that's existing conditions. And under proposed conditions, you can see a little bit of the site. And similarly, at the top of the hill, the cul-de-sac on Nichol Road, you can also see the array, and I can hand out some hard copies here.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: So this is Nichol and Karen Joy Drive?
MR. FISHEL: Correct.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Yes, because I'm down here.

MR. FISHEL: So in an effort to provide some additional visual buffering, you know, based on these visuals, we will add some vegetative buffering along that south side of the

project site in addition to, you know, the east, east property line.

Based on some suggestions from the Town Planner at the last meeting and through input from the Planning Board's staff, requests were made to do some visuals on Stagecoach. Once we started looking into that, Stagecoach appeared to be a private drive so we're really not sure how we could get up on a private property to snap a photo across someone's yard to render it. I'm happy to have a conversation with Planning staff or the Code Enforcement Officer to find a way to get access to render those views, but we are open to providing, you know, visual mitigation along those areas, too, if warranted.

So these, again, are the only two that we had the ability to render for tonight. We do have our visual consultant working on the remainder locations.

As you get further away from the site, similar to Robinson Road, the terrain does provide some level of buffering along with the existing vegetation along those roadways. But we'll do our best to render those in the next submission.

We did receive the Town Engineer comments. We also received the Town Planner comments. I think, and Christian, correct me if I'm wrong, I think the crux of what we need to

work out is just the DEC's compliance or whether or not we comply to the stormwater regulations dictated for these types of projects. There's some other, I consider minor engineering details we need to work out, but that's the big one that we need to sort out. So we have reached out to the Natalie Brown at DEC. As of this morning she's open to having a coordinated meeting between you and I and her to sort this out. That's one item I don't have a clear answer for tonight, but that's certainly something that we're going to look through.

As far as the Town Planner comments, I think the two bigger ones, or maybe three, rather, are compliance to the tree clearing criteria. I realize I probably didn't answer one of the questions quite appropriately, being the five area one. And really, you know, this goes back to that arborist survey that was done on the site before. You know, we tried to get the map showing the limit of their study that was done prior to this project and we really didn't get more than a pencil sketch. So what we're going to do is have them go out and place flags or tape in the area that they actually surveyed so that then we can quantify that boundary for the limit of their survey, and then to have them also do the same thing for the forested areas that have the trees that are greater than eight inches in diameter so that we can also

quantify that criteria.

MR. STACH: Does that pencil drawing show where?
Usually, when they go out and do tree surveys they identify a tree on a map and they key it to species, size and condition.
They didn't do anything like that?

MR. FISHEL: So the letter that we got, it did identify certain tree species, the size and how many are living or dead, but it didn't specify specific points as to where they were located. We got, you know, a general kind of marker on that aerial, this is where I looked at. So we need to do some more homework on that because we do have -- admittedly, we have, on our tree clearing plan, eight acres of clearing, whether that's brush, scrub removal or, you know, certainly, some of that's going to be trees over eight inches in diameter. We've just got to quantify that better, so we'll work on that one.

On the criteria that --

MR. STACH: I would think it's worthwhile for you to settle that with the Planning Board, how you're going to attack that, if you're going to be having those arborists come back to the site.

MR. FISHEL: Sure.

MR. STACH: Obviously, we don't want you to undergo the cost of coming back a third time --

MR. FISHEL: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STACH: -- if you don't give us. I mean typically, in our experience, what's happened is within the limit of disturbance, meaning you don't have to do any surveys outside of the area you're not proposing to disturb. Maybe you go ten feet if you think you might end up, in the future, going in a certain direction because you're moved out of a different area. But generally, you know, within the area of disturbance, we would be looking for you to identify trees over a certain diameter at breast height. I think the code requires you to look at eight inch and 15 inch. typically, what we would do is we would say at least show us, on a map, where the eight inch trees are and that way we know the area that you're clearing is not one of these forested areas. You know, if there's a few eight inch trees in there, that's not a forest. But if there is, you know, 20 eight inch trees, then it's likely it's in a small area, then it's slightly a wooded area. That's typically what we would ask. If you're looking for providing less than that, I think it probably makes sense to clear that with the Planning Board now.

MR. FISHEL: Sure. So I guess that would be my approach. As the --

I'm sorry. What's your name?

1 - RE: SOL SOURCE POWER -MR. STACH: Max. 2 MR. FISHEL: Max or Matt? 3 4 MR. STACH: Max. MR. FISHEL: 5 Max. 6 As Max aligned, that would be my general approach as to 7 how we would get more information to hopefully demonstrate 8 compliance to that criteria. Does that seem reasonable? 9 MR. STACH: Yes. 10 MR. GAILEY: I would just say take a look at H(3). 11 MR. FISHEL: H(3)? MR. GAILEY: Yes. Well, 199-21(H)(3), and then also 12 13 (H)(4)(B) and (4)(D). MR. FISHEL: Okay. So there was also the question about 14 15 clearing of mature forest. One thing that was outlined in 16 the arborist's letter was that a majority of the trees that 17 they surveyed within that area did not quantify, did not 18 constitute the mature forest, which is the 50 percent cover at 15 inches diameter breast height or larger. So that's why 19 we're making that argument, that that criteria isn't really 20 applicable to the area of the solar array that was surveyed 21 22 by the arborist. But again, we need to have that area

MR. STACH: And I think that your tree survey will bear that out. So if he's telling us what the DBHs are of the

delineated so that you folks can understand that.

23

2.4

25

trees and we're not finding large stands of 15 inch trees in an area, then that's bearing out his testimony, or her testimony.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: I see the letter here that has this list. It's above what they're giving you here with the heights, the size of the trees and --

MR. STACH: Yes. So typically, when a tree survey is done, you don't just get a summary list of what's present on the site, you get a map tied to it and they actually identify where the trees are because they have to do that anyway. They're logging, they're going around and they're saying: Here's one tree at this size. It's this species, it's this condition, dead, healthy; right? So typically, that's key to a map. And usually, the arborist has a GPS and it's very easy for them to enter all this information on a GPS as they're going around the site, and then it automatically populates into a CAD drawing. It's very straightforward. What we're missing is that map. We have the summary of the data, but not the map. I think that's because, as the Applicant mentioned, this was probably done a long time ago. So this was before, presumably, somebody would do that and they were just writing down notes or on a sketch, something to that effect. So I think if you get this information then

you're able to not only hear the testimony of the arborist, you're able to verify it by looking at the map and then you don't have to necessarily hire your own professional to verify their opinion, which is what I would say without a map you'd have to do at this point; right? He says there's no mature forest. So typically, if somebody says there's going to be no drainage impact you hire an engineer to verify it; right? Here, if you were to rely on that, the recommendation would be hire an arborist to verify it. Instead, show us the map and then we can all look at it and understand what's going on on the site and verify it.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: You may remember when we did the Delaware River project that we had the outlines of where the trees were and it looked like there was a lot of growth, but in fact, we had just one big area in the middle. The others were just scrub brush that had grown up. In fact, our pictures had shown it hadn't even been there five or six years ago. So yes, if it turns out that all the tall trees are in one area, then they're a forest. But if it turns out well, there's one here, there's one there, they're not.

MR. FISHEL: So limit of the tree survey and location of the alive trees that meet that criteria is what I'm hearing.

MR. STACH: Well, the tree survey should be all trees over eight inches, key to a map.

1 - RE: SOL SOURCE POWER -MR. FISHEL: Alive or dead or just alive? 2 MR. STACH: Alive and dead. 3 4 MR. FISHEL: Okay. Right? And then the arborist is going to 5 MR. STACH: 6 indicate the condition because it'll be dead, it'll be alive. 7 There may be some that are in poor condition that are 8 probably going to die, right, that aren't dead. MR. FISHEL: 9 Sure. 10 MR. STACH: We would want to know that. That way the Board can make a determination. You know, if you have a 11 12 couple live ones but they're on the way out, that might be 13 different than if you have healthy trees in that area. MR. FISHEL: 14 Sure. Okay. 15 MR. STACH: And typically, the arborist would categorize 16 the health of the tree. 17 MR. FISHEL: Yes, and they have. We just want to make 18 sure we're communicating what we need to do to them. 19 MR. STACH: Yes. 20 MR. FISHEL: Understood. Okay. 21 BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN: I have a question. 22 partially legal and partially engineering. They mention on 23 the site it varies from some areas having 30 degree slope

down to five percent slope with an average of eight percent.

With the remediation of this, what happens if, in fact, you

24

25

2.4

know, precipitation events have been increasing, what happens if it breaches these mitigation measures that are made?

Because we already have problems on that area and, you know, if they follow regulations and it fails, who's responsible at that time?

MR. PAGGI: So the stormwater design is our major comment. Based on the information that was submitted, we don't really believe that it meets the criteria for the DEC's guidelines that they're applying right now. We're going to work through with the Applicant and the DEC and if it's determined that they don't meet that criteria, they're going to meet the more stringent criteria in the stormwater design manual which assumes that the panels are an impervious surface and provide adequate treatment, stormwater detention as such. So it would significantly enhance the stormwater management design associated with this project.

With that said, I'm not sure where those types of practices would be able to be located on a site like this, but that's something that really needs to be looked at closely. So stormwater is a significant concern with this application. If there's existing drainage issues out there, I think that those should be documented.

Is there something specific that you're aware of or that's been brought up by the public?

BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN: Well, I know on that whole side of the mountain it's an issue.

MR. PAGGI: Yes.

2.4

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes.

MR. PAGGI: For homeowners or ...

BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN: For homeowners. And, you know, about ten years ago one of the applicants had put a stormwater management system in. Not put it in, but the plans, which were pretty extensive, which looked like it would have remediated the problem. This is a fairly large area that's going to be disturbed. We want to make sure that it's going to handle the stormwater flow that's already coming off that mountain. It's not their fault, but that's an existing situation.

MR. PAGGI: And that's what we're looking for them to provide, more information to demonstrate that they are adequately mitigating those concerns.

So your other question, if it fails, who's responsible. It's a little bit of a loaded question. You can then look at why did it fail, was it due to maintenance. Any stormwater management practice, because there will be a SWPPP associated with this, will require stormwater maintenance agreements to be set in place. So they'll be required to maintain any practices, you know, consistent with that maintenance

agreement and if it's not maintained then the Town has the right to go in and maintain, perform whatever maintenance work that needs to be performed, and that would need to be paid for by the Applicant. There are measures in place to make sure that one, it's maintained. Well, really, one, that it's designed correctly and that it meets current standard; two, that once it's installed that it's maintained. And then if those two items check out, that it did meet, the design did meet the standards, it was maintained and it failed, then that's got to be a unique situation and it depends on, it would need to be looked at closer. But ultimately, we try to avoid being in that situation by doing the homework now. So I don't know if that answers your question or not, but that's my ...

MR. GAILEY: It was a good answer.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are there any other questions,

comments?

MR. FISHEL: So the other two comments that came out of the engineering and planning review last time, in addition to others, but we're primarily focused on reaching out to the fire department, which we have. We did get some additional comments and feedback here this morning so we're in the process of digesting that, as well as reach out. I think the comment letter noted town highway, but it's actually county

highway. We have reached out and we've actually been in long conversation with them already. We've submitted initial plans to them showing the alignment of the driveway, the make-up, the geometry and so on to County DOT. We don't have any feedback yet, but we'll certainly keep that dialogue moving along.

So I guess that's the end of my spiel and presentation.

Any questions?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any other questions?

Mort?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

I had a couple other comments I just wanted MR. PAGGI: to bring up to the Board. One of them, we tend to ask for the solar projects some of the same questions and one of them is if this project is going to require any off-site work associated with the utility upgrade or utility provider or highway upgrade associated with this new solar, then that should be noted and potentially incorporated into the application as part of the SEQRA review. We asked that The Applicant has responded with some information, question. that the Bloomingburg substation will need to be upgraded, as well as need to be 180 million feet of overhead three-phase line upgraded and various overhead full mounted equipment to accommodate the connected load. So we're just asking for a little bit more information to clarify what that would

entail. I know that some of that actually was included in one of those supplemental documents that you provided there. There was some description of what that work included.

But what I am just trying to point out to the Board is that if there's additional site disturbance or potential regulatory approvals that are required for this off-site work, it may need to be incorporated into this application as part of the SEQRA review. But we also don't want to make a mountain out of a molehill. We're just looking for a little bit more information for them to kind of quantify and qualify the amount of work that's happening. That way, the Board can make a determination of whether or not that type of work should be included or you guys should be reviewing any of that.

One other comment that we had, typically, we ask for an emergency action plan. That's something that the Planning Board has reviewed in the past as part of the site plan approval process. It also is something that emergency services has had a chance to review as part of the site plan review. They've asked if they could defer that and provide that as part of a conditional approval. I'm deferring that to the Board of how you'd like to handle that. Again, typically, it's reviewed by the Planning Board, but it's up to you if you think it's appropriate to have that

administrative review by staff or by other consultants as opposed to Planning. If you defer as a conditional, allow it to be provided as a condition of approval, then you won't have the opportunity to review it, obviously.

MR. GAILEY: I think it's really faster for the Applicant to do that earlier in the process because we need the emergency services to review the plan and if that gets delayed until a conditional approval is granted, that's just going to delay final approval. I think the sooner the better for that.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I would like to at least see it first, at least a sketch of it first.

MR. FISHEL: Sure. Okay

MR. PAGGI: That was it.

MR. STACH: I have some I wanted to go over, as well.

One of the responses to code review has do with sensitive natural communities. DEC has indicated that chestnut oak forest exists up on the ridge and it's sort of put a big swath, generally, you know, 30,000 feet, this is where it is, kind of thing, but it's not exact. On some of your plans you show a line of where that forest is, but you don't indicate how that line was located, if you had a certified professional that went out and actually surveyed the different sensitive natural communities or whether this

was sort of like the depiction of what came from DEC's Environmental Resource Mapper, map information. Typically, and I think in the past, what we would do is we would ask you to have somebody go out and identify the natural communities on site, not just the chestnut oak forest, but sort of generally across the site, this is a successional field, this is meadow, just so that we know that somebody who is qualified and knows what they're looking at has gone out and identified that there's no other natural communities on the site that are sensitive because we know that DEC doesn't know where all of these things are. So that was one point of clarification on that.

When your visual analyst goes out and takes the pictures they should be taking the pictures at a focal length that approximates the field of view of human eye, the human vision, which is generally around 30 millimeters on a full frame camera, and if they're using a crop lens camera it might change. So if you can make sure when they write up their report they are using the right focal length to mimic human vision, it's not telephoto zoom or it's not wide angle pan. It should be in the right field of view.

MR. FISHEL: Okay.

MR. STACH: In response to our comments on the stone walls that you're going to be moving, it said they would be

stockpiled and that the final disposition would be the subject of the contractors, the contractor would decide how their final disposition is.

MR. FISHEL: The final location of the stockpile.

MR. STACH: Right. The Planning Board, in the past, when you've dealt with these stone walls, from time to time you've asked that these stone walls be used as decorative elements. I just wanted to bring it to your attention. If you want these stone walls not to just remain in stockpiles, that's something you should direct the Applicant do.

And then we had prepared a --

MR. FISHEL: Sorry Max. Can I get an answer on that one?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Does anybody have any comment on that?

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: Well, I've seen one type of stone walls, a historic stone wall that these are fields that have overgrown and it's --

MR. STACH: These are farm fields.

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: Yes.

MR. STACH: Stone walls.

MR. FISHEL: The idea, at least the intent on our drawings, would be that they would be stockpiled and not just landfill or buried. They would be stockpiled so that if and when the project is decommissioned 25 years from now, the

owner could then replaced put them in a fence or reuse them as they see fit. The problem I have with putting in a fence as a decorative measure, at some point the project is going to be decommissioned and that might not be where the landowner wants the stone wall in the end. But I'm happy to have that conversation and looking for some feedback on that one.

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: I know some towns have things trying to preserve stone walls, but I don't think we have such a thing in our town.

MR. STACH: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes.

MR. STACH: Yes, if it's not important then --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I don't think it's a big deal.

MR. STACH: We had prepared a Lead Agency NOI to being the SEQRA review on this, coordinated review. We're suggesting that you classify it as a Type I Action under SEQRA because of the area of disturbance. And we had identified the DEC, the NYSERDA, County Department of Public Works and the County Planning Department as involved agencies that need to be copied.

There may be variances required. Are you aware -MR. FISHEL: We haven't identified any as of right now.

MR. STACH: Yes. I'm not sure. It might be a good

idea, however, to notify them just in case, in the future.

MR. FISHEL: The Town ZBA?

MR. STACH: The Town ZBA.

MR. FISHEL: Yes.

MR. STACH: I think that was one that we were going to suggest you add to this list just in case we need to. It won't make us start back a Phase 1. That is something that you could do tonight if you wanted, notice your intent to declare Lead Agency.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: So we have enough information to do that at this point?

MR. STACH: If you feel like these most recent maps are adequate for the agencies to start their reviews and understand whether there is going to be impacts, I would say yes because the intent of SEQRA is that you begin as early as possible in the process.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. And this will be a Notice of Intent, meaning if there are other agencies that thought, No, you don't have the resources to do this, they would step in and ...

MR. STACH: So typically, it's if they feel they have better ability to review and require mitigations, or if there was some outstanding issue of import, that's the time when they say, No, we're going to be Lead Agency, Town, you step

SOL SOURCE POWER -1 - RE: 2 aside. And then ultimately, you would have to come to an agreement on who's going to serve. 3 4 In this instance, really, what you're doing is you're alerting them so that they inform you if they have any 5 6 concerns or what their permit authority is. So when you send 7 this to the DEC they'll tell you whether or not they need 8 some type of permit for incidental taking because it's so close to bat hibernacula or something like that. 9 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So you think they have enough information with what we've been presented that they could 11 12 make that determination? 13 MR. STACH: I do. 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. 15 MR. FISHEL: If I could add one agency to your list, 16 Max, is the Army Corps. 17 MR. STACH: Army Corps we will send to. 18 MR. FISHEL: Okay. 19 MR. STACH: But they're not actually subject to SEQRA. 20 MR. FISHEL: Just want to make sure that they're 21 getting --22 MR. STACH: Yes.

MR. STACH: Yes. So federal agencies are not subject to

MR. FISHEL: -- the communication from you folks, that's

23

24

all.

1 - RE: SOL SOURCE POWER -2 State --MR. FISHEL: 3 Okay. 4 MR. STACH: -- Environmental Quality Review. We can send them for information purposes. 5 I can add that to the 6 list. 7 MR. FISHEL: And we are obviously coordinating with them 8 on the jurisdictional determination, both them and DEC, on the wetlands, regardless. 9 MR. STACH: Right. And they don't have to wait. 10 can give you a permit tomorrow. 11 12 MR. FISHEL: Yes. 13 MR. STACH: And likewise, County Planning isn't really an involved agency, but because they're interested we include 14 15 them. There's no indication that the DEC would 16 MR. PAGGI: 17 take jurisdiction? MR. FISHEL: I don't see that happening. The wetlands 18 19 on the site aren't large enough and just the way that the 20 federal rule has been written, we see them being federally 21 jurisdictional or isolated nonjurisdictional. 22 MR. PAGGI: I think that in the past two years they've revised how they issue those nationwide permits. Where it's 23 requires the DEC water quality permit, then you do have to 24

25

wait for SEQRA before the --

SOL SOURCE POWER -1 - RE: MR. FISHEL: Before the permit's issued, yes. 2 MR. PAGGI: Right. So that will hold up your permit. 3 4 We've run into that. To Max's credit, if we could start 5 MR. FISHEL: Yes. 6 the SEQRA process to get that ball rolling because I think 7 these items that are at hand now, through coordination with 8 Christian and DEC, I think we can come to a resolution, hopefully, and not change the scope of the project, but we 9 10 have to go down that road to figure that out. I think we can achieve, we're looking forward to address Max's team's 11 concerns on how we're checking the box for the remaining 12 13 zoning criteria. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Does this bind us in terms of any sort 14 15 of time frame? Because I don't want to rush. There are a 16 lot of issues that you all brought up and I don't want to 17 find out, Well, you started this so you only get 30 days to 18 review the engineering or the community --19 MR. GAILEY: No, it does not. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I'm fine with it. Anybody else? 20 MR. GAILEY: Will there be any County Industrial 21 22 Development Agency? 23 MR. FISHEL: Sorry. Say that again. 24 MR. GAILEY: Will you be involved with the County

Industrial Development Agency? Should we notice them?

25

- RE: SOL SOURCE POWER -1 MR. FISHEL: They would -- not to my knowledge, unless 2 3 we're going for --4 Are we going for a PILOT on this one from the IDA? 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think we might. MR. FISHEL: From the IDA or the ... 6 7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) 8 MR. FISHEL: Yes. Maybe. 9 MR. GAILEY: So we'll add them. 10 MR. FISHEL: Okay. CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Well, I think it's okay. 11 12 So do I have a motion to declare us as the Lead Agency? 13 BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: I'll make that motion. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Alex. 14 Do I have a second? 15 ALTERNATE BOARD MEMBER JENSEN: Second. 16 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Adrienne. 17 18 All in favor? 19 BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: Aye. 20 BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN: 21 BOARD MEMBER LACEY: Aye. 22 BOARD MEMBER GLISSON: Aye. 23 ALTERNATE BOARD MEMBER JENSEN: Aye. 24 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. 25 (The motion was agreed and carried.)

1	- RE: SOL SOURCE POWER -
2	MR. GAILEY: And out of that motion, this is a Type I
3	action and this Board will be coordinating SEQRA review.
4	Don't need to revote that. It's part of the motion.
5	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.
6	MR. FISHEL: Thank you very much. We appreciate it.
7	And as far as timeline, Kyra, the submittal deadline for
8	the March meeting is Tuesday?
9	MS. PLATT: Yes.
10	MR. FISHEL: So I think we'll be back before you
11	probably in April at this point.
12	I appreciate everybody. Thank you very much.
13	(Time noted: 7:54 p.m.)
14	* * * *
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
15	

_

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings in the matter of Sol Source Power, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

Gaumary a. Meyer

ROSEMARY A. MEYER

Date Transcribed: April 4, 2023

1	
2	COUNTY OF SULLIVAN : TOWN OF MAMAKATING PLANNING BOARD
3	X Beth Hamedrash Shaarie Yosher Institute Inc.
4	Proposed Amended Site Plan Tax Map Section 63; Block 1; Lot 49.3
5	Mountain Greenbelt Zone with Shawangunk
6	Ridge Protection OverlayX
7	Town Hall Town of Mamakating
8	February 14, 2023 7:54 P.M.
9	PLANNING BOARD MEMBERS:
10	LORRENCE GREEN, Chairman
11	ALEX GOODMAN, Board Member
12	MORT STAROBIN, Board Member
13	JOHN LACEY, Board Member
14	RICHARD GLISSON, Board Member
15	ADRIENNE JENSEN, Alternate Board Member
16	KYRA PLATT, Building Department
17	MEGAN COMFORT, Building Department
18	J. BENJAMIN GAILEY, ESQ., Attorney
19	CHRISTIAN PAGGI, P.E., Engineer
20	MAX STACH, Planner
21	Also Present: Martin Miller, ESQ. On Behalf of Applicant
22	
23	JERIC CORPORATION Court Reporting Services
24	Post Office Box 385 Narrowsburg, New York 12764
25	Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

1 - RE: BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE -

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. The next applicant is Beth Hamedrash Shaarie Yosher Institute, incorporated, proposed amended site plan, fence and mikvah. The property is located at 437-438 South Road, Tax Map Section 63; Block 1; Lot 49.3. It is approximately 46.16 acres and lies in the Mountain Greenbelt Zone with Shawangunk Ridge Protection.

So who's here for that?

MR. MILLER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

MR. MILLER: I'm giving out the door prizes.

Good evening. Marty Miller for the Applicant.

When we were last here -- I don't have the foam board and the maps, but I think we've narrowed this down to two basic issues. The camp is putting in, or will be putting in a security fencing which is an appropriate installation with a children's camp, and more particularly, important and substantially supported by Homeland Security because of the nature of the camp and the attendees there.

The question that was presented rightfully by the Board was the issue of the gates and access and emergency access.

To that end, today, the Fire Department provided a letter regarding that, approving of the fence, its location and discussing the locks for the gates.

The Fire Department indicated that there were two

BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE acceptable -- well, there were multiple acceptables, but they didn't want to deal with the issue of the siren based access. They thought that might be a little complicated. suggested either a key based access or a combination based access. For purposes of humor, my client said if we use a combination based access it'll only be a matter of perhaps a day and a half before everyone in the camp has that combination and it'll be self-defeating. So they're going to go with a key based access. And the observation made by the Fire Department is that every vehicle carries a bolt cutter, as well as the keys to all the gates. But should they have an issue with respect to the need for emergency access, they have that handy dandy large key which will destroy the lock, and that's certainly appropriate. All the locks will be keyed alike and that addresses the concern that the Board raised relative, I think that addresses that concern, with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The other issue which was raised and asked that we review was the issue of the Department of Health with the installation of the mikvah at the site. To that end, our engineers had prepared an analysis which had been submitted earlier which indicated there'd be no greater water usage and that there would be no greater burden on the sewage disposal system. We've submitted that information to the DOH and by

respect to the gates which are needed for the security.

2 let

- RE: BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE - letter of January 18th, the Department of Health has confirmed that and indicated that the mikvah can be built. The letter from the engineer indicates the conversion does not add any additional outfall flows to Outfall 18 or any other outfall at the facility; that the use of the mikvah is limited to campers or staff currently living there, which is what we represented; and that the camp will continue not to do laundry on site.

So with those two issues being the key issues for the minor modification of the site plan, we'd ask the Board to approve those modifications so that we can move forward.

We'd like to move forward with the construction of the mikvah as quickly as possible so that it could be utilized for the summer session this year. The delay, any delay would defer that.

With respect to the fencing and the gates, they can go up now and the appropriate lock can be installed, the Fire Department can be provided with the access.

And I think that addresses the concerns that the Board raised when we were last here. So if there are any other concerns or questions, I'd be prepared to respond to them, but I think we're ready to move to the step of, Thanks, guys, we're going to go forward.

MR. GAILEY: What exactly is the application here, to

1 - RE: BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE -2. install the fence? MR. MILLER: There was a site plan submitted which 3 4 showed the location of a security fence at the camp. Yes, we're modifying the site plan slightly to reflect that fence. 5 6 MR. GAILEY: The fence has not previously been approved 7 by this board; correct? MR. MILLER: No, that is correct. 8 9 MR. GAILEY: Okay. I think we need a public hearing before the Board --10 MR. MILLER: I think it's a minor modification of a 11 preexisting approval. I don't think you need a public 12 13 hearing for the installation of a fence at a camp. MR. GAILEY: Can you point me to the provision in the 14 15 code? MR. MILLER: Yes. It's called logic. 16 17 (Mr. Gailey examining the code.) MR. PAGGI: So plans weren't submitted. Plans were not 18 19 submitted with this. MR. MILLER: Yes, they were. They were at the last 20 21 hearing. 22 MR. PAGGI: At the last meeting, right. 23 MR. MILLER: Yes. MR. PAGGI: So we didn't provide a comment letter. 24 We did receive the letter from the Health Department. 25

- RE: BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE -

I think the only other item that I can recall, but again, plans were not submitted so we didn't review anything, if there were any changes to the plan since that previous meeting. The plans submitted for that meeting did show a fence detail and I believe the fence was indicated to be eight feet tall. I'm not sure if that was an error. We didn't get any positive feedback or confirmation from the Applicant whether an eight foot fence was, indeed, being proposed and that that would be addressed.

Is an eight foot fence proposed or --

MR. MILLER: I have to be honest with you. I'm not certain as to the height of the fence.

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: I believe it was in one place it was referred to as a six foot fence and then somewhere else, on the drawing maybe it's an eight foot and in the narrative it said six foot or vice versa.

MR. PAGGI: Right, there was a discrepancy. I think an eight foot fence is going to require a variance.

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: Right.

MR. MILLER: We are talking about a security fence at a facility that is under the guidance of the Department of Homeland Security, who, in fact, is paying for a substantial portion, if not all of the fence. I just thought I'd point that out. I mean you can --

- RE: BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE -

2.4

MR. PAGGI: It's just a matter that the Board needs to make sure that they're enforcing the code that the Town Board has enacted. There's a code stipulation about fence height.

There was --

MR. MILLER: We can assure you that the fence will meet code. If it should turn out that the fence needs to be higher, if the Board gives us the approval and we need to go to the ZBA on that issue, we will. I don't believe that we will need that requirement.

MS. PLATT: So the Board would need that information in writing, I would assume.

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: Well, it says somewhere that it's eight foot. Are we then approving an eight foot fence or is that a condition when the permit is issued, if that doesn't meet the code does our approval allow that to ...

MR. PAGGI: This is a site plan. It's a site plan approval, that the plan will need to be endorsed by the Chairman. If there's small revisions that need to be made, those could be made as conditions of approval. This plan could be submitted, reviewed to make sure that it meets those conditions, such as to revising the fence height to six feet or whatever the code requires. That can be submitted and demonstrated before the Chairman signs the plans.

BOARD MEMBER GLISSON: Ben, is the construction of a

1 2

- RE: BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE fence a major modification? I mean because it's turning on
what he describes as an adjective which, in his mind, is a
minor modification. Is the construction of an eight foot
fence, regardless of whether Homeland Security or whoever
pays for it, is it considered a modification that rises to
the level of requiring a variance?

MR. GAILEY: Two different concepts. Whether it's a major modification and whether it requires a variance are two different things.

BOARD MEMBER GLISSON: Yes.

MR. GAILEY: Yes. Whether it requires a variance is dependent upon what the height limitation for fences are in the town code. If they're proposing an eight foot fence when the code limitation is six feet, this board doesn't have the authority to grant that approval until the variance has already been granted. The variance can't be granted after the fact.

Now, major modification is relevant as to whether the Board holds a public hearing or not because Max and I checked the code on the site plan application and the site plan amendment. Like it says, a public hearing is optional and it's up to the Board to determine whether they determine the amendment to be a major change to what had previously been approved or not.

- RE: BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE - BOARD MEMBER GLISSON: Okay.

MR. GAILEY: My question really is, I mean I haven't seen plans. I haven't been here lately. But Christian, do we know what the Board would even be approving at this point? Fence location, gate location?

MR. PAGGI: So they showed the fence location, the gate, the initial plans. There were a couple rounds of plans that were submitted. The initial plan, we had asked them to revise the location of the fence because it had encroached into the right-of-way. They did that. They provided a plan. They clearly showed the right-of-way and the fence located outside of it. They identified the gate location. I do think that they addressed a lot of the concerns.

Another part of the confusion was that there were other items or improvements that were wrapped into that application. There were proposed improvements to a pool that were originally a part of the application, I guess, that are no longer part of the application.

MR. MILLER: That's correct. This application is limited to the two issues, the fence and the installation of the mikvah in this location which previously housed the sauna.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: So have we reviewed that? Because you're right. I'm looking through my notes. The original

- RE: BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE project that was given to us in August of 2021 was the fence,
the gate at the pool and the mikvah. I know that there were
discussions and that's why you just cut the thing back to the
fence. And it just strikes that all of a sudden, everything
is back here. We haven't looked at that. I don't believe
we've reviewed what's in front of us now. And to tell me,
Well, we don't know if the fence is six feet or eight feet,

by the way, go and approve it, I don't really think that's a

good idea.

MR. MILLER: Well, I would suggest that you could approve it so long as it conforms with code. If it should turn out that the fence, that the Applicant is seeking a fence that is in excess of the code, one, Mary isn't going to give us a permit and we can come back and we can go to the ZBA. But presuming that it conforms to code, there's no reason that it shouldn't be able to be installed. That would be that.

And with respect to the other item that we're discussing, it was the question of the mikvah. The issue with the mikvah really was whether the facility would support it. And the answer to that is that the engineers and the DOH have reflected that it will support it and that it's within the confines of the preexisting structures. So it's a conversion in an existing location of a use.

- RE: BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE -

2.4

So those are the two issues that we're presenting.

MR. GAILEY: Is the camp currently in operation?

MR. MILLER: It is. Well, not in the winter, but yes, in the summer.

MR. GAILEY: Right. So you'll recommence operation in May, June?

MR. MILLER: Somewhere. I can't give you the date that people will show up. I'm assuming that in May at some point, or perhaps even earlier, staff may show up to get the facility ready and that sometime in perhaps June attendees will be there.

MR. GAILEY: So if the Board had the opportunity to get back up to speed on this application and make a decision next month, that would not cause any undue delay to the Applicant.

MR. MILLER: It would with respect to the mikvah and the construction of it, and to some extent, it may with the fence. I mean the answer is that the Board asked us for specific information to be comfortable with the fact that it was not a change that would cause any adverse use or activity and we provided that. It's totally internal to the property.

And with respect to the fence, it's outside the road,

I'm going to say for the most part because the reality is

that is where the buildings are. I don't know north, east,

south, west, but if you're going down the road, on the

- RE: BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE - right-hand side, the buildings themselves are almost up against the road bounds and the fence would be in the vicinity of the buildings. So I don't want to suggest that some small portion of the fence might not be in road bounds, but they're certainly not within the travelled way of the existing road and they're beyond it, and it's within the area that is occupied by the camp and the campers.

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: I tend to agree. I think last month, the last time we visited this, that question of the access for the fire department was the only remaining thing that we were looking to clarify. I think we had worked through the rest of the issues with the fence.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. I'm looking at the Department of Health letter and they're saying that with the following conditions that the conversion to a mikvah does not add any additional flows to Outfall 18 or any other outfall on the facility. So how do we know that that's not going to do that? They're making that a condition.

MR. MILLER: Because the engineers have said that.

That's what they're premising the, I'm going to use the word approval, but their response is premised on that. It would be -- if you have a hundred people, whether you have ten showers or a hundred showers, there are a hundred people and the calculation is based on the number of individuals, not

1 2

2.4

- RE: BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE - based on the number of facilities or toilets or those sorts of things.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

MR. MILLER: This calculation is based upon the actual use at the site and the attendance at the site. And the, I'm going to say the plumbing of the site is remaining constant. That's what the engineers are telling us and that's what DOH is telling us.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

MR. PAGGI: I'm not sure if that is exactly what that letter is saying. The DOH is approving, is saying that it's acceptable conditioned that you do not increase flows to Outfall 18. They're not saying you are not increasing flows to Outfall 18, they say conditioned that you do not.

MR. MILLER: We understand, and we're not. We're not increasing any flows. It's the same number of people and the way that that's restricted is by Item 2, it's limited to campers and staff currently living at the camp, without outside visitors. The water facilities at the site, both well and septic, are sufficient for the purpose of the people at the facility. These are people at the facility. So that issue is resolved within the confines of the engineering and the DOH. And the other condition is that we do not do laundry on site, which we do not do, because that would

BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE -1 - RE: 2 burden the system further. So we are meeting those 3 requirements and the engineers have demonstrated it. 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So have we seen those engineering? MR. MILLER: I believe they were submitted to this board 5 6 earlier on and they were submitted to DOH. 7 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. MR. MILLER: But certainly, DOH has seen them and passed 8 on them as saying acceptable. 9 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So the question --MR. MILLER: Reviewed and found acceptable. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. The question I was asking was 12 13 just had we actually seen the engineering. MR. PAGGI: That wouldn't be our jurisdiction, really, 14 to review that. 15 So the DOH has said that they're okay with it. 16 they haven't added any stipulations like it needs to be 17 18 metered and it needs to be monitored. 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So that's really up to them. 20 MR. PAGGI: So they got to see the engineering 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: 22 reports. Okay. 23 MR. PAGGI: They saw the engineering reports and they 24 were acceptable.

Okay. That's all I was asking.

25

CHAIRMAN GREEN:

- RE: BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE
MR. MILLER: Don't assault that microphone. It's a nice
microphone.

BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN: Is it already metered, the well?

MR. PAGGI: I'm sure that since it's a public water
supply it probably is required to be metered.

So that's ...

MR. PAGGI: But I doubt that they -- I shouldn't say I doubt. That doesn't mean that each individual building is metered, like the mikvah. In order to know that they're not increasing flows to that specific outfall they would need to know how much water is going into that building that discharges to that outfall. If there was a concern that they could potentially be exceeding the capacity of that sewage disposal system or that outfall, then the Health Department could stipulate, Well, we want to meter that and we're going to be monitoring to make sure that it's not going to be over capacity. But it's --

BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN: Okay. This is not our issue, then?

MR. PAGGI: This is not our issue.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN:

MR. GAILEY: Marty, was this January 18 letter previously submitted to the Planning Board or is this --

MR. MILLER: I don't know. I received it yesterday.

BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE -1 - RE: 2 CHAIRMAN GREEN: No, we had it. It was in the 3 information Kyra had sent. MS. PLATT: The only letter that we did not get was the 4 5 fire department letter. 6 MR. MILLER: The fire department letter appeared today. It's dated --7 8 That wasn't given to the Department, though. MS. PLATT: I didn't get that. This department didn't get that. You 9 10 just handed it --MR. MILLER: No, no. I received it this afternoon. 11 was dated today. I'm presuming that it was generated today 12 13 by the chief. I gave it to you. Literally, it was hot off 14 the press. 15 I'll need a copy of it. MS. PLATT: 16 MR. MILLER: I'll get you one. 17 MS. PLATT: Thank you. MR. MILLER: Or we'll swipe one of these and give it to 18 19 you. 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do you want --21 MS. PLATT: Thank you. 22 MR. MILLER: You're welcome. 23 Never mind. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. All right. So what are we up 24 25 So do we want to give them a conditional approval and

- RE: BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE - state that the fences have to be six feet or ...

MR. MILLER: They have to meet code I think would address it. And therefore, if they don't meet code, Mary, one, is going to stop us and two, we'll have to come back to you.

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: No, that they have to meet code.

MR. GAILEY: Yes. I think another condition should be conditioned upon review by the Board's engineer of the plans just to make sure everything is proper.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. That works.

MR. STACH: Can I ask very basic question? Was a special permit already approved on this and this is just an amendment to a site plan that's already been approved?

MR. MILLER: Yes is the answer.

MR. STACH: Okay. So there's a valid special permit, there's a valid site plan that was approved about six months to a year ago?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think it was like two years, two years ago.

MR. MILLER: It was pre-COVID, if I'm not mistaken. I could look it up.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think it was one of the first COVID meetings and I think we were all ...

MR. MILLER: There's an existing approval and this is a

BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE -1 - RE: 2 modification of an existing approval. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Because I do remember granting the two 3 4 year approval. That, we did do. 5 MS. PLATT: That was back in --6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: February? 7 MS. PLATT: February 9th, 2020, yes. CHAIRMAN GREEN: 8 Okay. They got a two year extension. 9 MS. PLATT: 10 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Were there any conditions with that? MS. PLATT: Conditions being that there are no 11 complaints the summer of the first year, we won't be seeing 12 13 more people at the camp. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Have we had any complaints? 14 MS. PLATT: I don't know off the top of my head without 15 having the file. 16 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. MR. MILLER: When we were here last time we discussed 18 19 the fact that we would go into the Building Department for 20 the purposes of their review and reissuance of the updated 21 permit. 22 BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: I move that we move ahead with 23 conditional approval with the two conditions noted.

MR. GAILEY: Just add to that a Negative Declaration

24

25

under SEQRA.

1	- RE: BETH HAMEDRASH SHAARIE YOSHER INSTITUTE -
2	BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: Along with a Negative
3	Declaration.
4	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do I have a second?
5	BOARD MEMBER LACEY: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: John.
7	All in favor?
8	BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: Aye.
9	BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN: Aye.
10	BOARD MEMBER LACEY: Aye.
11	BOARD MEMBER GLISSON: Aye.
12	ALTERNATE BOARD MEMBER JENSEN: Aye.
13	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
14	(The motion was agreed and carried.)
15	MR. MILLER: Thank you.
16	CHAIRMAN GREEN: You're welcome.
17	MR. MILLER: We will contact Mary and move forward.
18	Thank you. Have a good evening.
19	(Time noted: 8:17 p.m.)
20	* * * *
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings in the matter of Beth Hamedrash Shaarie Yosher Institute, Inc., to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest what account in the outcome thereof.

Jaumary A. Meyer

ROSEMARY A. MEYER

Date Transcribed: April 4, 2023

1	
2	COUNTY OF SULLIVAN : TOWN OF MAMAKATING
3	PLANNING BOARD X
4	Ski Run Realty, LLC Proposed Amendment and Reapproval of
5	Children's Summer Camp Tax Map Section 12; Block 1; Lot 7 Burlingham Residential Zone
6	Tax Map Section 12; Block 1; Lot 9.1 Hamlet Center Zone
7	X
8	Town Hall Town of Mamakating
9	February 14, 2023 8:18 P.M.
10	PLANNING BOARD MEMBERS:
11	LORRENCE GREEN, Chairman
12	ALEX GOODMAN, Board Member
13	MORT STAROBIN, Board Member
14	JOHN LACEY, Board Member
15	RICHARD GLISSON, Board Member
16	ADRIENNE JENSEN, Alternate Board Member
17	KYRA PLATT, Building Department
18	MEGAN COMFORT, Building Department
19	J. BENJAMIN GAILEY, ESQ., Attorney
20	CHRISTIAN PAGGI, P.E., Engineer
21	MAX STACH, Planner
22	Also Present: Amador Laput, On Behalf of Applicant
23	JERIC CORPORATION
24	Court Reporting Services Post Office Box 385
25	Narrowsburg, New York 12764
	Reported By: Rosemary A. Meyer

CHAIRMAN GREEN: The next applicant is David Moskowitz on behalf of Ski Run Realty LLC, Camp Nitra, proposed amendment and reapproval of children's summer camp. The properties are located on Ski Run Road and 1478 Ski Run Road, Tax Map 12.-1-7, which is approximately 119.45 acres and lies within the Burlingham Residential Zone; and Tax Map 12.-1-9.1, which is approximately 34.20 acres and lies within the Hamlet Center Zone.

MR. LAPUT: Good evening. Amador Laput, Fellenzer Engineering. I'm here with David Moskowitz of Ski Run Realty.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Welcome.

MR. LAPUT: We're seeking a special use approval.

Apparently, their two years has expired. So he was open last summer, with this camp, and he's hoping to open again this summer. So Mary Grass said get through the Planning Board and get the special use approval.

So of the two properties, the camp is entirely on 12.-1-9.1, the 34 acres. So the other 12.-1-7 is not involved in camp operations. So the owners tried to just buy the camp but the previous owner wasn't willing to sell them off separately so he was selling them as a pair so the 12.-1-7 came along with the camp.

So the 12.-1-7, apparently, the previous owners were not

SKI RUN REALTY LLC -1 - RE: 2 so good at keeping up the permits so for the past two months 3 David has worked with Mary Grass on helping to get whatever 4 permits were needed. So there were a couple dozen violations, so David had a number of documentation on Mary's 5 6 desk that she will go through and hopefully clear most of the 7 violations. 8 So we're not proposing any site improvements or improvements to any of the buildings, so tonight is just for 9 10 the reapproval of the special use. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. 12 MR. LAPUT: Any questions? 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Max. So just for clarification, there is no 14 MR. STACH: 15 amendment, this is just reapproval. 16 MR. LAPUT: Yes, I saw that. I don't know what an 17 amendment to a special permit would be. 18 MR. STACH: Okay. 19 MR. LAPUT: Would it be a change of ownership? Would 20 that be an amendment? 21 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Like adding a building --22 MR. STACH: No. 23 CHAIRMAN GREEN: -- or something like that. MR. LAPUT: 24 Oh.

25

MR. STACH:

So I guess --

2.4

MR. LAPUT: There are no amendments in this application.

MR. STACH: So I mean the only thing I would say is this is fairly easy. Usually, when you have an expiring special permit you have a reason why you set up that and it's usually to make sure that you're complying with conditions on an ongoing basis. It would be our recommendation that you ask the Building Inspector for a report, that she finds the site is substantially consistent with the approved plan and there's been no outstanding violations of record or excessive complaints, sort of a report. Then the Board would use that as a basis to reauthorize.

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: You said the violations were on the one property or on both? The outstanding violations.

MR. LAPUT: David, was it both properties or mostly ...

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Most is on the camp property. Over the last six weeks Mary (inaudible).

CHAIRMAN GREEN: You know what --

MR. LAPUT: So it was both properties?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: The stenographer can't hear you. Just come up to the microphone. She has to be able to hear you.

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: Well, my question is if the purpose of coming before us is to make sure there aren't any violations and there are violations, do we just need something from Mary to say that now we have a new owner, he

became aware of the violations and currently, he has applied for the permits to address all of the ongoing violations before we can say yes, or can we condition it on such a thing.

MR. STACH: I would ask for a report from your building inspector that, again, the site is substantially consistent with the conditions of your original approval, the original site plan; that all violations have been cured or, in her opinion, in the process of being cured. That would give you the basis for reauthorizing the approval.

I think the fact that it's changed ownership is a good explanation about why you would do that; right? You're not expecting to go through the same experience over the next two years because you have a new owner. But I would defer to --

MR. GAILEY: No, I agree. I think that's a good way.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: I would comment on that, that most of the violations come from the prior owner. I got the property with the violations.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: So as soon as we established, I was hired to take care. I'm in touch with Mary, Building Department, on a daily basis, to take care. We submitted some stuff. It's under her review.

So respectfully, I'm asking for a special use.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, our concern is we just want our building inspector to just say, Okay, I'm aware of all these things and they're all being worked on. Not that they've got to be fixed before we approve anything, but just that we're aware of them. That's all we're asking for.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Okay.

2.4

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: Because there could be violations that violate this special use permit --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Correct.

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: -- that make it nonconforming. Whatever conditions were made when the special use permit was --

MR. STACH: And you want Mary. Because when Mary goes and does an inspection, I don't know if her practice is to review, I guess the building code which she knows like that, right, and she can spot these things right away, or if she's doing an inspection with the special permit approval and the site plan in hand to make sure all those conditions are being met. So by asking her to verify that all the special conditions are being met, she will either say, Yes, I already checked and here's what I'm working with, or she'll arrange to make sure that those conditions are being met.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, I think that's reasonable.

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: So it seems like we can't move forward and grant the renewal until we have something from Mary, a report back, that explains what's going on and how you're remedying all these violations.

MR. LAPUT: Could that be a condition of approval?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: See, I don't like doing that just because it's a new owner and nobody's been out there for quite a while, so I'd rather just have her go and look.

BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: We don't even know the extent of how egregious the violations could be so it really wouldn't be prudent.

MR. LAPUT: So David, would you be willing to tell them which violations are still open?

MR. GAILEY: No, we need to hear --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: We need it from her.

MR. LAPUT: Okay.

2.4

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Now we know exactly. She was on the property. I called her and she came in. She made a walk-through, she wrote down all the comments. So she knows well that I'm here to work through, cure all the violations.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: I don't think I will be able to finish with them before the season, but she has to give --

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Right.

SKI RUN REALTY LLC -1 - RE: MR. MOSKOWITZ: -- if she feels okay that we are doing 2 3 the work. 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Again, that's not the issue. We just 5 want to see the list, that's all. We have had applicants in 6 the past that have been in exactly the same situation. 7 is pretty much what we've done with them. 8 MR. MOSKOWITZ: How would that be? Mary will write a 9 letter or call? 10 MR. GAILEY: Correct. CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yes, tomorrow. 11 12 MR. GAILEY: She'll send a letter or a memo to the 13 Board. Okay. Very good. 14 MR. LAPUT: 15 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Thank you. 16 MR. LAPUT: Thank you very much. 17 MR. STACH: Actually, reauthorization of a special 18 permit is going to require a public hearing; right? 19 MR. GAILEY: I don't know. 20 MR. STACH: All special permit approvals require a 21 public hearing. 22 MR. GAILEY: Renewals? 23 MR. STACH: I don't know if there's any provision in here for renewals. 24

25

MR. GAILEY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. Hold on a second.

MR. GAILEY: I would suggest why don't we schedule a public hearing for your next meeting.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay.

MR. GAILEY: If it turns out it's not necessary, we won't put the notice in the paper and we won't hold the hearing.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. It sounds good.

MR. GAILEY: If it's required, you've scheduled it.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: So what's going on here is in the code, in order to give you the special permit, to renew it you have, we think we may need a public hearing. We're going to schedule one. If we don't need it, we won't have it. It just gives the public a chance to come and say what they're going to say. We don't want to find that next month, no, it really did need a public hearing and have to do it the month after.

MR. LAPUT: Okay

MS. PLATT: I would just need to know no later than March 4th if it is going to go through with it, that way we can have everything sent out in timely manner.

MR. GAILEY: Not a problem.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: All right. So do I have a motion for a public hearing?

SKI RUN REALTY LLC -1 - RE: MR. GAILEY: When's your next meeting date? 2 MS. PLATT: March 4th. 3 4 CHAIRMAN GREEN: March 4th. Right, it's four weeks. BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN: Motion. 5 Good. 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Motion. 7 Second? BOARD MEMBER GLISSON: Second. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor? 10 BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: 11 BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN: Aye. 12 BOARD MEMBER LACEY: Aye. 13 BOARD MEMBER GLISSON: Aye. ALTERNATE BOARD MEMBER JENSEN: 14 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye. 16 (The motion was agreed and carried.) 17 MR. LAPUT: All right. Thank you very much. 18 CHAIRMAN GREEN: You're welcome. 19 BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN: Motion to close the meeting. CHAIRMAN GREEN: So again, as I told the Board 20 21 beforehand, anybody that is interested, we have a training at 22 six p.m. through 7:30 p.m. by the Agriculture Department on 23 agricultural law here in the town, so anybody that's 24 interested in attending, please do.

With that, do I have a motion to close the meeting?

25

1	- RE: SKI RUN REALTY LLC -
2	BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN: Motion.
3	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Mort.
4	Second?
5	BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: Second.
6	CHAIRMAN GREEN: All in favor?
7	BOARD MEMBER GOODMAN: Aye.
8	BOARD MEMBER STAROBIN: Aye.
9	BOARD MEMBER LACEY: Aye.
10	BOARD MEMBER GLISSON: Aye.
11	ALTERNATE BOARD MEMBER JENSEN: Aye.
12	CHAIRMAN GREEN: Aye.
13	(The motion was agreed and carried.)
14	(Time noted: 8:29 p.m.)
15	* * * *
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION

I, ROSEMARY A. MEYER, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing transcript is an accurate record of the proceedings in the matter of Ski Run Realty LLC, to the best of my knowledge and belief, having been stenographically recorded by me and transcribed under my supervision.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties to this action and that I have no personal interest whatsoever in the outcome thereof.

Jasemary a. Meyer

ROSEMARY A. MEYER

Date Transcribed: April 4, 2023

				• /
	address (3)	20:6	10:12;38:6,12	aside (1)
${f A}$	36:11;56:5;64:3	alignment (1)	approach (2)	34:2
	addressed (2)	27:4	19:24;20:6	assault (1)
ability (2)	45:10;48:14	alike (1)	appropriate (4)	54:2
16:16:33:23	addresses (3)	42:16	28:25;41:16;42:15;	associated (4)
able (7)	42:16,17;43:20	alive (5)	43:18	24:17;25:22;27:16,
14:12;22:2,3;	adequate (2)	22:23;23:2,2,3,6	appropriately (1)	17
24:19;49:17;63:21;	24:15;33:14	Allegiance (2)	17:15	assume (1)
66:23	adequately (1)	4:19,20	approval (23)	46:12
above (1)	25:18	allow (2)	28:19,22;29:4,9,	assumes (1)
21:7	adjective (1)	29:3;46:16	10;44:12;46:8,16,18,	24:14
Abruzzo (2)	47:3	almost (1)	20;47:16;51:22;	assuming (1)
13:11;14:25	administrative (1)	51:2	55:25;56:25;57:2,4,	50:9
acceptable (5)	29:2	along (12)	23;61:14,18;64:8,11;	assure (1)
42:2;52:13;53:9,	admittedly (1)	6:15;9:12;13:23;	65:19;66:6	46:6
11,24	18:12	15:3,8,25;16:13,20,	approvals (2)	attack (1)
	Adrienne (2)	20;27:7;58:2;61:24	28:7;67:20	18:21
acceptables (1)	4:18;37:17	ALTERNATE (5)	approve (4)	attendance (1)
42:2	adverse (1)	37:16,23;58:12;	43:12;49:9,12;65:6	52:6
access (12)	50:20	69:14;70:11	approved (7)	attendees (2)
13:19;16:12;41:21,	aerial (1)	Amador (1)	4:16;44:6;47:25;	41:19;50:11
21;42:3,5,6,7,10,13;	18:11	61:10	56:13,14,17;63:9	attending (1)
43:19;51:11	afternoon (1)	amended (2)	approving (4)	69:24
accommodate (2)	, ,			
5:20;27:24	55:11	5:2;41:4	41:23;46:14;48:5;	attention (1)
achieve (1)	again (11)	amendment (7)	52:12	31:9
36:11	4:21;5:21;16:15;	47:22,24;56:14;	approximately (8)	August (1)
acre (1)	20:22;28:23;36:23;	61:4;62:15,17,20	5:4,9,11;13:4,6;	49:2
5:9	45:3;61:16;64:7;	amendments (1)	41:6;61:6,8	authority (2)
acres (7)	67:4;69:20	63:2	approximates (1)	34:6;47:16
5:4;13:7;18:13;	against (1)	amount (2)	30:16	automatically (1)
41:6;61:6,8,20	51:3	15:7;28:12	April (1)	21:18
across (2)	agencies (4)	analysis (2)	38:11	average (1)
16:9;30:7	32:21;33:14,19;	5:23;42:22	aquifer (2)	23:24
action (4)	34:25	analyst (1)	9:8,9	avoid (1)
7:23;28:17;32:18;	Agency (8)	30:14	arborist (7)	26:13
38:3	32:16;33:10,25;	angle (1)	17:16;20:22;21:16;	aware (6)
activity (1)	34:15;35:14;36:22,	30:21	22:2,10;23:5,15	6:24;24:24;32:23;
50:20	25;37:12	Apparently (2)	arborists (1)	64:2;65:4,7
actual (1)	ago (5)	61:15,25	18:21	away (2)
52:5	21:22;22:19;25:8;	appeared (2)	arborist's (1)	16:18;65:18
actually (10)	56:18,20	16:7;55:6	20:16	Aye (29)
15:6;17:21;21:11;	agree (2)	applicable (2)	area (25)	4:11,12,13,14,15;
	51:9;64:16	7:16;20:21	5:11,12,16,17,20;	37:19,20,21,22,23,
26:25;27:2;28:2;	agreed (4)	applicant (17)	7:7;17:15,21;19:6,9,	24;58:8,9,10,11,12,
29:24;34:19;53:13;	37:25;58:14;69:16;	4:24;5:7;6:5;7:4;	9,15,18,19;20:17,21,	13;69:10,11,12,13,
67:17	70:13	13:2;21:22;24:11;	22;21:3;22:16,20;	14,15;70:7,8,9,10,11,
Adam (1)	agreement (2)	26:5;27:20;29:7;	23:13;24:4;25:12;	12
13:8	26:2;34:3	31:11;41:2,12;45:9;	32:19;51:7	12
add (8)	agreements (1)	49:13;50:15;61:2	areas (8)	В
15:25;33:7;34:15;			5:18;7:6,7;14:4;	Ъ
35:5;37:9;43:5;	25:23	applicants (2)		L L (15)
51:16;57:24	Agricultural (2)	25:8;67:5	16:13;17:24;19:16;	back (15)
added (1)	13:7;69:23	Applicant's (1)	23:23	9:20;13:14;15:9;
53:17	Agriculture (1)	6:23	argument (1)	17:16;18:22,25;33:8;
adding (1)	69:22	application (14)	20:20	38:10;49:4,6,15;
62:21	ahead (1)	8:8;9:19,22;24:22;	Army (3)	50:14;56:6;57:5;66:4
addition (3)	57:22	27:19;28:8;43:25;	13:25;34:16,17	backing (1)
5:16;16:2;26:20	Airport (1)	47:21;48:17,18,19,	around (3)	7:3
additional (7)	5:5	20;50:14;63:2	21:13,18;30:17	ball (1)
5:20;6:17;15:23;	alerting (1)	applied (1)	arrange (1)	36:6
26:22;28:6;43:5;	34:5	64:2	65:23	based (11)
	Alex (2)	applying (1)	array (5)	15:24;16:4;24:8;
51.17				
51:17	4:6;37:14	24:10	13:5,20,21;15:18;	42:3,5,5,7,10;51:25;
51:17 Additionally (1) 7:12	4:6;37:14 aligned (1)	24:10 appreciate (3)	13:5,20,21;15:18; 20:21	42:3,5,5,7,10;51:25; 52:2,5

basic (2)	53:5;54:4,7,19,24;	61:7	certified (1)	19:15;20:15
41:15;56:12	56:3;57:22;58:2,5,8,	buy (1)	29:24	clearly (1)
basically (1)	9,10,11,12;61:17;	61:21	CHAIRMAN (99)	48:12
5:15	63:11,13,22;65:9,12;	01.21	4:2,6,9,15,17,21;	client (1)
		C		
basis (5)	66:2,10;67:13;69:5,8,	C	6:3,20;8:5;10:8,13;	42:6
7:25;63:7,12;	10,11,12,13,14,19,20;		13:2;15:20,22;21:5;	close (4)
64:11,24	70:2,5,7,8,9,10,11	CAD (1)	22:13;25:5;26:17;	15:5;34:9;69:19,25
bat (1)	boards (1)	21:19	27:10;29:12;31:15;	closely (1)
34:9	10:6	calculation (2)	32:13,15;33:11,18;	24:21
bear (1)	Board's (3)	51:25;52:5	34:10,14;36:14,20;	closer (1)
20:24	14:9;16:5;56:9	call (1)	37:11,14,17,24;38:5;	26:12
bearing (1)	bolt (1)	67:9	41:2,10;46:19,24;	code (23)
21:3	42:11	called (2)		7:6;9:23;16:11;
			48:24;51:14;52:4,10;	
became (1)	both (6)	44:16;66:19	53:4,7,10,12,19,21,	19:11;29:17;44:15,
64:2	13:16;35:8;52:20;	came (4)	25;54:22;55:2,20,24;	17;46:3,4,7,16,23;
beforehand (1)	63:14,15,19	26:19;30:2;61:24;	56:11,19,23;57:3,6,8,	47:14,15,21;49:12,
69:21	bottom (1)	66:19	10,14,17;58:4,6,13,	14,16;56:3,4,5;65:17;
begin (1)	15:12	camera (2)	16;61:2,13;62:11,13,	68:11
33:16	boundary (1)	30:18,18	21,23;63:18,20;	collect (1)
behalf (3)	17:22	camp (19)	64:17,21;65:3,11,25;	14:9
4:25;13:3;61:3	bounds (2)	41:15,17,19;42:8;	66:7,16,22,25;67:4,	combination (3)
Ben (1)	51:3,5	43:8;44:4,13;50:3;	11;68:2,5,9,11,24;	42:5,7,9
46:25	box (1)	51:8;52:19;57:13;	69:4,6,9,15,18,20;	comfortable (1)
best (1)	36:12	61:3,4,16,19,21,22,	70:3,6,12	50:19
16:21	breaches (1)	24;63:16	chance (2)	coming (4)
BETH (19)	24:3	campers (3)	28:20;68:15	10:13;18:25;25:14;
41:1,2;42:1;43:1;	break (1)	43:7;51:8;52:19	change (5)	63:23
44:1;45:1;46:1;47:1;	7:20	Can (45)	30:19;36:9;47:24;	comment (6)
48:1;49:1;50:1;51:1;	breaking (1)	4:4,21;5:25;8:8;	50:20;62:19	24:8;26:25;28:16;
52:1;53:1;54:1;55:1;	7:18	9:23,23;10:4;14:13,	changed (1)	31:15;44:24;64:18
56:1;57:1;58:1	breast (2)	19;15:9,13,16,18,18;	64:12	comments (16)
better (3)	19:11;20:19	17:22,25;20:23;	changes (1)	6:2,6,9;8:5;10:10;
18:16;29:10;33:23	bridge (1)	22:11;23:11;25:20;	45:4	13:16;14:7;16:23,24;
beyond (1)	14:3	28:12;30:19;31:13;	check (1)	17:12;26:18,19,23;
51:7	brief (1)	35:4,5,11;36:8,10;	26:9	27:12;30:24;66:20
big (4)	5:21	43:3,12,17,18,19;	checked (2)	commercial (1)
17:5;22:16;29:20;	bring (2)	44:14;45:2,25;46:6,	47:20;65:23	7:13
32:15	27:13;31:9	23;49:15,15;56:12;	checking (1)	communicating (1)
bigger (1)			36:12	23:18
	brought (2)	64:4,4;65:18;68:22		
17:13	24:25;36:16	Canal (1)	chestnut (2)	communication (1)
bind (1)	Brown (1)	9:7	29:19;30:6	34:23
36:14	17:7	capacity (2)	chief (1)	communities (4)
bit (6)	brush (2)	54:14,18	55:13	29:18,25;30:5,10
13:12,18;15:16;	18:14;22:17	care (2)	children's (2)	community (2)
25:20;27:25;28:11	buffering (5)	64:23,24	41:17;61:4	13:4;36:18
Block (3)	13:23;15:8,24,25;	carried (5)	Christian (4)	complaints (3)
5:3;13:6;41:5	16:20	4:16;37:25;58:14;	6:4;16:24;36:8;	57:12,14;63:11
			48:4	
Bloomingburg (1)	building (16)	69:16;70:13		compliance (3)
27:21	5:13,17,18;7:18,	carries (1)	Christmas (1)	17:2,13;20:8
Board (102)	19;8:12;9:23;54:9,	42:11	13:15	complicated (1)
4:3,5,8,11,12,13,	12;57:19;62:21;63:8;	case (2)	civil (1)	42:4
14;5:22,24;8:8,9;	64:6,23;65:4,17	33:2,7	13:9	complies (1)
10:4,6;13:17;18:20;	buildings (4)	categorize (1)	clarification (2)	7:17
19:21;21:6;23:11,21;	50:24;51:2,4;62:9	23:15	30:13;62:14	comply (1)
25:2,7;27:13;28:5,12,	built (1)	cause (2)	clarify (2)	17:3
18,23,24;31:6,16,20;	43:3	50:15,20	27:25;51:12	complying (1)
32:9;37:13,16,19,20,	bunch (1)	Center (1)	classify (1)	63:6
21,22,23;38:3;41:13,	6:7	61:9	32:18	concepts (1)
20;42:16;43:11,20;	burden (2)	certain (4)	clear (4)	47:8
44:7,10;45:14,20;	42:24;53:2	18:8;19:8,11;45:13	5:16;17:9;19:21;	concern (5)
46:2,3,8,11,13,25;	buried (1)	certainly (7)	62:6	24:21;42:16,17;
47:11,15,20,23;48:2,	31:24	14:13;17:10;18:14;	clearing (5)	54:13;65:3
5;50:13,18;51:9;	Burlingham (1)	27:6;42:15;51:6;53:8	17:14;18:13,13;	concerns (6)
5,55.15,10,51.7,	~	27.0, 12.10,01.0,03.0	17.11,10.15,15,	(0)

25:18;34:6;36:12;	coordinating (2)	dated (2)	20:7;25:17	26:24
43:20,22;48:14	35:7;38:3	55:7,12	demonstrated (2)	direct (1)
concrete (1)	coordination (1)	David (6)	46:24;53:3	31:11
14:3	36:7	61:2,11;62:3,5;	Department (22)	direction (1)
condition (11)	copied (1)	63:15;66:13	8:12;26:22;32:20,	19:8
18:5;21:15;23:6,7;	32:22	day (1)	21;41:22,25;42:11,	directly (1)
29:4;46:15;51:19;	copies (2)	42:8	20;43:2,19;44:25;	4:22
52:24;56:8;64:4;66:6	15:14,19	days (1)	45:22;51:11,14;	discharges (1)
conditional (5)	copy (1)	36:17	54:15;55:5,6,8,9;	54:13
28:22;29:3,9;	55:15	DBHs (1)	57:19;64:24;69:22	discrepancy (1)
55:25;57:23	Corps (3)	20:25	dependent (1)	45:18
conditioned (3)	13:25;34:16,17	dead (6)	47:13	discussed (1)
52:13,15;56:9	correctly (1)	18:9;21:15;23:2,3,	depends (1)	57:18
conditions (14)	26:7	6,8	26:11	discussing (2)
15:15,16;46:20,22;	cost (1)	deadline (1)	depiction (1)	41:24;49:20
51:16;57:10,11,23;	18:25	38:7	30:2	discussions (1)
63:6;64:8;65:13,20,	County (9)	deal (2)	describes (1)	49:4
22,24	8:2,3;26:25;27:5;	32:15;42:3	47:3	displayed (2)
confines (2)	32:20,21;35:13;	dealt (1)	description (1)	14:17;15:11
49:24;52:23	36:21,24	31:7	28:4	disposal (2)
confirmation (1)	couple (4)	DEC (10)	design (10)	42:24;54:15
45:8	23:12;27:12;48:8;	17:7;24:11;29:18;	5:23;6:2,7,17;7:13;	disposition (2)
confirmed (1)	62:4	30:11;32:20;34:7;	9:17;24:7,13,17;26:9	31:2,4
43:3	cover (1)	35:8,16,24;36:8	designed (1)	district (3)
conforms (2)	20:18	December (1)	26:7	7:13,16,16
49:12,16	COVID (1)	13:14	designs (1)	districts (1)
confusion (1)	56:23	decide (1)	9:13	7:14
48:15	credit (1)	31:3	desk (1)	disturb (1)
connected (1)	36:5	decided (1)	62:6	19:6
27:24	criteria (10)	13:11	destroy (1)	disturbance (4)
consider (1)	17:14;18:2,18;	decision (1)	42:14	19:5,10;28:6;32:19
17:4	20:8,20;22:23;24:9,	50:14	detail (1)	disturbed (1)
considered (1)	12,13;36:13	deck (1)	45:6	25:12
47:6	crop (1)	14:4	detailed (3)	documentation (1) 62:5
consistent (3)	30:18	Declaration (2)	5:23;9:13,17	
25:25;63:9;64:7	crux (1) 16:25	57:24;58:3	details (2)	documented (2)
constant (1) 52:7		declare (2)	6:8;17:5	7:17;24:23
constitute (1)	cul-de-sac (1) 15:17	33:10;37:12 decommissioned (2)	detention (1) 24:15	documents (1) 28:3
20:18	cure (1)	31:25;32:5	determination (4)	DOH (8)
construction (4)	66:21	decorative (2)	23:11;28:13;34:12;	42:25;49:22;52:8,
43:13;46:25;47:4;	cured (2)	31:8;32:4	35:8	12,24;53:6,8,16
50:17	64:9,10	DEC's (3)	determine (2)	done (9)
consultant (1)	current (1)	17:2;24:9;30:2	47:23,23	7:22;10:5,9;14:12;
16:17	26:7	defer (4)	determined (1)	17:17,18;21:10,22;
consultants (1)	currently (5)	28:21;29:3;43:15;	24:12	67:7
29:2	5:11;43:7;50:3;	64:15	Development (3)	door (1)
consultants' (1)	52:19;64:2	deferring (1)	5:5;36:22,25	41:11
6:2	cut (1)	28:22	diagrams (1)	DOT (1)
contact (1)	49:4	definitely (1)	6:25	27:5
58:17	cutter (1)	6:11	dialogue (1)	double (1)
continue (1)	42:11	degree (1)	27:6	5:15
43:8		23:23	diameter (4)	doubt (2)
contractor (1)	D	Delaware (1)	17:25;18:16;19:11;	54:8,9
31:3		22:14	20:19	down (9)
contractors (1)	daily (1)	delay (4)	dictated (1)	9:19;14:23;15:22;
31:3	64:24	29:10;43:15,15;	17:3	21:24;23:24;36:10;
conversation (3)	dandy (1)	50:15	die (1)	41:14;50:25;66:20
16:10;27:3;32:7	42:14	delayed (1)	23:8	dozen (1)
conversion (3)	data (1)	29:9	different (5)	62:4
43:4;49:25;51:16	21:21	delineated (1)	19:9;23:13;29:25;	drainage (2)
coordinated (3)	date (2)	20:23	47:8,10	22:8;24:22
8:17;17:8;32:17	50:8;69:2	demonstrate (2)	digesting (1)	drawing (3)

I lumming Dour u				1 001 441 7 1 1, 2020
18:3;21:19;45:16	enforcing (1)	exists (1)	fault (1)	42:11;43:18;51:11;
drawings (1)	46:3	29:19	25:14	55:5,6
31:23	engineer (6)	expand (1)	favor (5)	first (5)
Drive (5)	13:9,16;16:23;	5:2	4:10;37:18;58:7;	4:24;29:12,13;
14:21,21;15:13,20;	22:8;43:4;56:9	expanded (2)	69:9;70:6	56:23;57:12
16:8	Engineering (13)	6:15;7:9	favorable (1)	Fishel (48)
driveway (1)	4:24;5:7;13:9;	expansion (1)	10:7	13:8,8;14:19;15:2,
27:4	17:5;23:22;26:20;	6:14	February (3)	12,21,23;18:7,23;
due (2) 14:9;25:21	36:18;52:23;53:4,13,	expecting (2)	4:2;57:6,7	19:2,23;20:3,5,11,14;
14:9;25:21	21,23;61:11	13:25;64:14	federal (2)	22:22;23:2,4,9,14,17,
T	engineers (5)	experience (2)	34:25;35:20	20;26:19;29:14;
${f E}$	42:22;49:22;51:20;	19:4;64:14	federally (2)	30:23;31:5,13,22;
	52:8;53:3	expired (1)	13:25;35:20	32:24;33:3,5;34:15,
earlier (4)	enhance (1)	61:15	feedback (6)	18,20,23;35:3,7,12,
29:7;42:23;50:10;	24:16	expiring (1)	5:25;14:10;26:23;	18;36:2,5,23;37:2,6,
53:6	enough (3)	63:4	27:6;32:7;45:8	8,10;38:6,10
early (1)	33:11;34:10;35:19	explains (1)	feel (2)	fit (1)
33:16	entail (1)	66:4	33:13,22	32:3
Earth (2)	28:2	explanation (1)	feels (1)	five (5)
14:16,17	enter (1)	64:13	67:2	5:9;13:4;17:15;
easier (2)	21:17	extend (1)	feet (10)	22:18;23:24
8:16;13:13	entirely (1)	5:14	8:3;19:7;27:22;	fixed (1)
east (5)	61:19	extension (1)	29:20;45:7;46:22;	65:6
13:22,23;16:2,2;	Environmental (2)	57:9	47:15;49:8,8;56:2	flags (1)
50:24	30:3;35:4	extensive (1)	Fellenzer (1)	17:21
easy (2)	equal (1)	25:10	61:10	flat (1)
21:17;63:4	7:7	extent (2)	fence (41)	7:19
effect (1)	equipment (1)	50:17;66:10	32:2,3;41:4,23;	flow (1)
21:25	27:23	extra (1)	44:2,4,5,6,13;45:6,6,	25:13
effort (1)	error (1)	6:7	9,11,13,15,19,21,24;	flows (6)
15:23	45:7	eye (1)	46:4,6,7,14,22;47:2,	43:5;51:17;52:13,
egregious (1)	established (1)	30:16	5,14;48:6,7,10,12,21;	14,17;54:11
66:11	64:22	30.10	49:2,5,8,13,14;50:18,	foam (1)
eight (19)	even (4)	\mathbf{F}	22;51:3,5,13	41:13
	22:18;48:5;50:10;	F		focal (2)
17:25;18:13,15;		form do (1)	fences (2)	
19:12,14,16,17;	66:10	facade (1)	47:13;56:2	30:15,20
22:25;23:24;45:7,9,	evening (7)	7:21	fencing (2)	focused (1)
11,16,19;46:14,14;	4:18,24;5:6;13:8;	facilities (2)	41:16;43:17	26:21
47:4,14;49:8	41:12;58:18;61:10	52:2,20	few (1)	folks (4)
either (2)	events (1)	facility (7)	19:16	13:14;14:14;20:23;
42:5;65:22	24:2	43:6;45:22;49:21;	field (3)	34:23
elements (1)	everybody (2)	50:11;51:18;52:22,	30:7,16,22	follow (1)
31:9	13:8;38:12	22	fields (2)	24:5
Elevations (1)	everyone (2)	fact (8)	31:17,19	following (1)
7:21	4:22;42:8	22:16,17;23:25;	figure (1)	51:15
else (3)	exact (1)	45:23;47:18;50:19;	36:10	foot (12)
9:20;36:20;45:15	29:21	57:19;64:12	file (1)	5:10,16;45:9,11,15,
emergency (5)	exactly (4)	fail (1)	57:16	16,17,19;46:14,14;
28:17,19;29:8;	43:25;52:11;66:18;	25:21	final (4)	47:4,14
41:21;42:13	67:6	failed (1)	29:10;31:2,4,5	forest (8)
enacted (1)	examining (1)	26:10	find (4)	19:17;20:15,18;
46:4	44:17	fails (2)	9:20;16:11;36:17;	22:7,20;29:19,22;
encroached (1)	exceeding (1)	24:5;25:19	68:16	30:6
48:10	54:14	fair (1)	finding (1)	forested (2)
encroachments (1)	excess (1)	15:7	21:2	17:24;19:15
6:12	49:14	fairly (3)	finds (1)	formally (1)
end (5)	excessive (1)	7:19;25:11;63:4	63:8	14:14
19:7;27:8;32:6;	63:10	far (2)	fine (1)	forward (7)
41:22;42:21	existing (15)	17:12;38:7	36:20	5:25;36:11;43:12,
endorsed (1)	5:2,10,17,19;6:13;	farm (1)	finish (1)	13,24;58:17;66:3
46:18			, ,	
	7:9;15:13,15;16:20;	31:19	66:23	found (1)
Enforcement (1)	24,22.25.15.40.25	footom (1)	Fine (D)	
Enforcement (1)	24:22;25:15;49:25;	faster (1)	fire (8)	53:11
Enforcement (1) 16:11	24:22;25:15;49:25; 51:7;56:25;57:2	faster (1) 29:6	fire (8) 26:22;41:22,25;	53:11 four (1)

I laming Board				February 14, 2023
69:4	Good (16)	guidelines (2)	helping (1)	14:16,17
frame (2)	4:17;5:6;10:11;	7:13;24:10	62:3	impact (3)
30:18;36:15	13:8;26:16;32:25;	guys (3)	Here's (3)	6:14;14:5;22:8
front (4)	41:12;49:10;58:18;	8:7;28:14;43:23	9:18;21:14;65:23	impacts (2)
5:11;7:19,20;49:7	61:10;62:2;64:12,16;	0.7,20.14,43.23	hibernacula (1)	8:24;33:15
full (6)	67:14:68:9:69:6	H	34:9	impervious (2)
4:18;9:22,23,24;	GOODMAN (25)	11	higher (1)	5:20;24:14
27:23;30:17	4:5,11;21:6;31:16,	H3 (2)	46:8	import (1)
				33:24
further (5)	20;32:9;37:13,19;	20:10,11	highway (3)	
8:6;9:12;14:13;	45:14,20;46:13;51:9;	H4B (1)	26:25;27:2,17	important (2)
16:18;53:2	56:3;57:22;58:2,8;	20:13	hill (1)	32:14;41:17
furthest (1)	63:13,22;65:9,12;	half (1)	15:17	impression (1)
15:4	66:2,10;69:10;70:5,7	42:8	hire (3)	10:7
future (2)	Google (2)	HAMEDRASH (19)	22:4,8,10	improvements (5)
19:7;33:2	14:16,17	41:1,3;42:1;43:1;	hired (1)	6:10;48:16,17;
	GPS (2)	44:1;45:1;46:1;47:1;	64:23	62:8,9
\mathbf{G}	21:16,17	48:1;49:1;50:1;51:1;	historic (1)	Inaudible (2)
	grant (2)	52:1;53:1;54:1;55:1;	31:17	37:7;63:17
GAILEY (35)	47:16;66:3	56:1;57:1;58:1	hold (3)	inch (6)
20:10,12;26:16;	granted (3)	Hamlet (1)	36:3;68:2,7	19:12,12,14,16,18;
29:6;36:19,21,24;	29:9;47:17,17	61:9	holds (1)	21:2
37:9;38:2;43:25;	granting (1)	hand (4)	47:20	inches (4)
44:6,9,14,17;47:8,12;	57:3	15:13,18;36:7;	holiday (1)	17:25;18:15;20:19;
48:3;50:3,6,13;	Grass (2)	65:20	13:15	22:25
54:23;56:8;57:24;	61:17;62:3	handed (1)	holidays (1)	incidental (1)
64:16;66:15;67:10,	gravel (1)	55:10	14:11	34:8
12,19,22,25;68:3,6,	5:12	handle (2)	Homeland (3)	include (2)
10,23;69:2	greater (3)	25:13;28:23	41:18;45:23;47:5	6:17;35:14
gate (4)	17:25;42:23,24	handy (1)	homeowners (2)	included (3)
48:6,7,13;49:3	GREEN (97)	42:14	25:6,7	28:2,4,14
gates (5)	4:2,6,9,15,17,21;	happened (1)	homework (2)	incorporated (3)
41:21,24;42:12,18;	6:3,20;8:5;10:8,13;	19:4	18:12;26:13	27:18;28:8;41:3
43:17	13:2;15:20,22;21:5;	happening (2)	honest (1)	increase (2)
gave (1)	22:13;25:5;26:17;	28:12;35:18	45:12	7:11;52:13
55:13	27:10;29:12;31:15;	happens (2)	hopefully (4)	increasing (4)
general (3)	32:13,15;33:11,18;	23:25;24:2	13:12;20:7;36:9;	24:2;52:14,17;
14:19;18:10;20:6	34:10,14;36:14,20;	*	62:6	54:11
		happy (2) 16:10;32:6	hoping (1)	indeed (1)
generally (4)	37:11,14,17,24;38:5;			45:9
19:9;29:20;30:7,17	41:2,10;48:24;51:14; 52:4,10;53:4,7,10,12,	hard (2)	61:16	
generated (1)		15:14,19	hot (1)	indicate (2)
55:12	19,21,25;54:22;55:2,	head (1)	55:13	23:6;29:23
generic (1)	20,24;56:11,19,23;	57:15	housed (1)	indicated (6)
6:6	57:3,6,8,10,14,17;	health (6)	48:22	7:4;29:18;41:25;
geometry (1)	58:4,6,13,16;61:2,13;	23:16;42:20;43:2;	human (3)	42:23;43:3;45:6
27:5	62:11,13,21,23;	44:25;51:15;54:15	30:16,16,21	indicates (1)
gets (1)	63:18,20;64:17,21;	healthy (2)	humor (1)	43:4
29:8	65:3,11,25;66:7,16,	21:15;23:13	42:6	indication (1)
given (2)	22,25;67:4,11;68:2,5,	hear (4)	hundred (3)	35:16
49:2;55:8	9,11,24;69:4,6,9,15,	22:2;63:20,21;	51:23,24,24	individual (1)
gives (2)	18,20;70:3,6,12	66:15	_	54:9
46:8;68:15	Greenbelt (1)	hearing (13)	I	individuals (1)
giving (2)	41:7	22:23;44:9,13,21;		51:25
21:7;41:11	Greeting (1)	47:20,22;67:18,21;	IDA (2)	industrial (4)
Glen (1)	4:2	68:4,8,13,17,25	37:4,6	7:12,16;36:21,25
13:10	grown (1)	height (6)	idea (3)	inform (1)
GLISSON (9)	22:17	19:11;20:19;45:13;	31:22;33:2;49:10	34:5
4:14;37:22;46:25;	growth (1)	46:4,22;47:13	identified (5)	information (16)
47:11;48:2;58:11;	22:15	heights (1)	9:6;30:10;32:20,	20:7;21:17,25;
69:8,13;70:10	guess (5)	21:8	24;48:13	24:8;25:17;27:20,25;
GML (1)	19:23;27:8;48:18;	held (1)	identify (6)	28:11;30:3;33:11;
8:2	62:25;65:17	7:15	8:4;18:4,7;19:10;	34:11;35:5;42:25;
goes (3)	guidance (1)	help (2)	21:11;30:5	46:11;50:19;55:3
17:16;30:14;65:15	45:22	13:11,22	image (2)	initial (4)
	15.22	13.11,22	gc (#)	
		·		

5:25;27:3;48:8,9		- Land (1)	35:13	22:11;25:20;56:22;
input (3)	J	13:10	limit (4)	66:9
14:9,13;16:5		– landfill (1)	17:18,23;19:4;	looked (6)
inspection (2)	January (2)	31:24	22:22	18:11;22:15;24:20;
65:16,19	43:2:54:23	landowner (1)	limitation (2)	25:10;26:12;49:6
Inspector (4)	Jay (1)	32:6	47:13,15	looking (15)
9:23;63:8;64:7;	5:6	landscaped (1)	limited (3)	4:21;15:3,14;16:7;
65:4	Jean (3)	7:6	43:7;48:21;52:18	19:10,20;22:3;25:16;
install (1)	14:22,22;15:7	LAPUT (17)	line (4)	28:10;30:9;32:7;
44:2	JENSEN (5)	61:10,10,14;62:12,	16:3;27:23;29:22,	36:11;48:25;51:12,
installation (5)	37:16,23;58:12;	16,19,24;63:2,15,19;	23	14
13:3;41:16;42:21;	69:14;70:11	66:6,13,17;67:14,16;	LIO (1)	looks (1)
44:13;48:21	jogs (1)	68:19;69:17	7:16	7:2
installed (3)	7:19	large (5)	list (7)	Lot (7)
26:8;43:18;49:17	John (1)	13:4;21:2;25:11;	6:22;21:7,10;33:7;	5:4;13:6;15:5;
instance (1)	58:6	35:19;42:14	34:15;35:6;67:5	22:15;36:16;41:5;
34:4	join (1)	larger (2)	Literally (1)	48:14
Instead (1)	4:19	9:4;20:19	55:13	
22:10	Joy (3)	last (12)	little (6)	M
INSTITUTE (19)	14:21;15:13,20	13:14;16:5;26:20;	9:19;15:16;25:20;	
41:1,3;42:1;43:1;	June (2)	41:13;43:21;44:20,	27:25;28:10;42:4	maintain (2)
44:1;45:1;46:1;47:1;	50:7,11	22;51:9,10;57:18;	live (1)	25:24;26:3
48:1;49:1;50:1;51:1;	jurisdiction (2)	61:15;63:17	23:12	maintained (4)
52:1;53:1;54:1;55:1;	35:17;53:14	lastly (1)	living (3)	26:2,6,8,10
56:1;57:1;58:1	jurisdictional (3)	7:25	18:8;43:7;52:19	maintaining (1)
intent (4)	14:2;35:8,21	lately (1)	LLC (19)	13:22
31:22;33:9,16,19	14.2,33.6,21	48:4	4:1,25;5:1;6:1;7:1;	maintenance (4)
interested (3)	K	later (1)	8:1;9:1;10:1;61:1,3;	25:21,23,25;26:3
35:14;69:21,24	IZ.	68:20	62:1;63:1;64:1;65:1;	major (5)
internal (1)	Karen (3)	laundry (2)	66:1;67:1;68:1;69:1;	24:7;47:2,9,19,24
50:21	14:21;15:12,20	43:9;52:25	70:1	majority (1)
into (13)		law (1)	load (1)	20:16
4:22;6:12;8:22;	keep (1) 27:6	69:23	27:24	makes (1)
13:20;16:7;21:19;		layout (1)	loaded (1)	19:21
27:18;28:8;36:4;	keeping (1) 62:2	13:18	25:20	make-up (1)
48:11,16;54:12;	key (7)	Lead (4)	loading (3)	27:5
57:19	18:5;21:15;22:25;	32:16;33:10,25;	5:12,16,17	making (2)
investigated (1)	42:5,10,14;43:10	37:12	located (9)	20:20;51:19
8:24	keyed (1)	least (4)	5:3;9:7;13:5;	Mamakating (1)
involved (4)	42:16	19:13;29:12,13;	18:10;24:19;29:23;	4:3
32:21;35:14;36:24;	keys (1)	31:22	41:4;48:12;61:5	management (3)
61:21		legal (1)	location (12)	24:17;25:9,22
isolated (1)	42:12 kind (4)	23:22	14:20;22:22;31:5;	maneuverability (1)
35:21	10:6;18:10;28:11;	length (2)	41:23;44:4;48:6,6,7,	6:25
issue (16)	29:21	30:15,20	10,13,22;49:25	manner (1)
8:23;9:6;25:3;	knowledge (1)	lens (1)	locations (4)	68:22
33:24;35:23;41:21;	37:2	30:18	14:11,12,20;16:17	manual (1)
42:3,13,19,20;46:9;	knows (3)	less (1)	lock (2)	24:14
49:20;52:23;54:19,	30:9;65:17;66:20	19:20	42:14;43:18	many (1)
21;67:4	Kohl's (1)	letter (17)	locks (2)	18:8
issued (2)	9:4	18:7;20:16;21:6;	41:24;42:15	Map (17)
36:2;46:15	Kuhl (1)	26:25;41:22;43:2,4;	logging (1)	5:3;13:5;17:18;
issues (8)	13:3	44:24,25;51:15;	21:13	18:5;19:14;21:11,16,
24:22;36:16;41:15;	Kyra (3)	52:12;54:23;55:4,5,	logic (1)	20,21;22:3,5,11,25;
43:10,10;48:21;50:2;	4:21;38:7;55:3	6;67:9,12	44:16	30:3;41:5;61:6,7
51:13		level (2)	long (6)	Maple (2)
item (4)	Kyra's (1) 13:11	16:19;47:7	7:19;8:25;13:3;	14:25;15:2
17:9;45:2;49:19;	13.11	lies (5)	21:22;27:2;49:12	Mapper (1)
52:18	L	5:4;13:7;41:6;61:6,	longer (1)	30:3
items (3)	L	8	48:19	maps (2)
	T 4 CTT (C)	likely (3)	look (10)	33:13;41:14
26:9:36:7:48:16				,
26:9;36:7;48:16	LACEY (6)			Marathon (1)
26:9;36:7;48:16	4:13;37:21;58:5, 10;69:12;70:9	8:21;15:7;19:18 likewise (1)	6:11;8:22;9:10; 17:11;19:12;20:10;	Marathon (1) 13:9

I tanning Dour u	1	T	1	1 051 441 / 11, 2020
March (4)	4:5,8,11,12,13,14,	56:21	67:7,16;69:17	nonjurisdictional (1)
38:8;68:21;69:3,4	18;21:6;23:21;25:2,	mitigating (1)	multiple (1)	35:21
marker (1)	7;31:16,20;32:9;	25:18	42:2	north (1)
18:10	37:13,16,19,20,21,22,	mitigation (2)	N	50:24
Marty (2)	23;45:14,20;46:13,	16:13;24:3	17	noted (7)
41:12;54:23	25;47:11;48:2;51:9;	mitigations (1)	(1)	10:14;26:25;27:18;
Mary (12)	54:4,7,19;56:3;	33:23	name (1) 19:25	38:13;57:23;58:19;
49:14;56:5;58:17;	57:22;58:2,5,8,9,10, 11,12;63:13,22;65:9,	modification (8)		70:14 notes (2)
61:17;62:3;63:17,25; 64:23;65:15,15;66:4;	12;66:2,10;69:5,8,10,	43:11;44:11;47:2, 4,6,9,19;57:2	narrative (1) 45:16	21:24;48:25
67:8	11,12,13,14,19;70:2,	modifications (1)		notice (4)
Mary's (1)	5,7,8,9,10,11	43:12	narrowed (1) 41:14	33:9,18;36:25;68:7
62:5	memo (1)	modifying (2)	Natalie (1)	notify (1)
Matt (1)	67:12	5:19;44:5	17:7	33:2
20:3	mention (1)	molehill (1)	nationwide (1)	noting (1)
matter (2)	23:22	28:10	35:23	6:9
42:7;46:2	mentioned (2)	monitored (1)	natural (4)	number (4)
mature (3)	6:5;21:22	53:18	29:18,25;30:5,10	51:25;52:2,17;62:5
20:15,18;22:7	met (4)	monitoring (1)	nature (1)	NYSERDA (1)
Max (10)	7:10;65:21,22,24	54:17	41:19	32:20
6:21;20:2,3,4,5,6;	meter (1)	monotony (1)	necessarily (3)	32.20
31:13;34:16;47:20;	54:16	7:20	8:23;9:5;22:4	0
62:13	metered (4)	month (4)	necessary (1)	0
Max's (2)	53:18;54:4,6,10	50:15;51:10;68:16,	68:6	oak (2)
36:5,11	Michael (1)	17	need (40)	29:19;30:6
may (9)	13:3	months (2)	5:22;6:7,15;7:10,	observation (1)
22:13;23:7;28:8;	microphone (4)	56:17;62:2	21;9:20;13:24;16:25;	42:10
32:23;50:7,9,10,17;	4:23;54:2,3;63:21	more (11)	17:5,6;18:11;20:22;	Obviously (4)
68:13	middle (1)	7:18;13:20;17:19;	23:18;26:4,12;27:21,	5:22;18:24;29:5;
maybe (5)	22:16	18:12;20:7;24:13;	22;28:8;29:7;32:22;	35:7
7:19;17:13;19:6;	might (10)	25:17;27:25;28:11;	33:7;34:7;38:4;	occupied (1)
37:8;45:16	7:18;10:9;19:7;	41:17;57:13	42:13;44:9,12;46:8,	51:8
McDONALD (9)	23:12;30:19;32:5,25;	morning (2)	10,11,18,19;54:11;	off (4)
4:1,25;5:1,3;6:1;	37:5;42:4;51:5	17:7;26:23	55:15;63:24;66:15,	25:14;55:13;57:15;
7:1;8:1;9:1;10:1	mikvah (12)	Mort (3)	16;68:13,14,17,20	61:23
meadow (1)	41:4;42:21;43:3,6,	4:9;27:11;70:3	needed (3)	office (1)
30:8	13;48:22;49:3,20,21;	Moskowitz (11)	14:11;42:18;62:4	13:11
mean (8)	50:16;51:16;54:10	61:2,11;63:16;	needs (6)	Officer (1)
19:3;45:25;47:2;	MILLER (37)	64:18,22;65:8;66:18,	24:20;26:4;46:2,7;	16:11
48:3;50:18;53:16;	41:9,11,12;44:3,8,	23;67:2,8,15	53:17,18	off-site (2)
54:9;63:3	11,16,20,23;45:12,	most (6)	Negative (2)	27:15;28:7
meaning (2)	21;46:6;48:20;49:11;	6:24;33:13;50:23;	57:24;58:2	Once (2)
19:5;33:19	50:4,8,16;51:20;52:5,	62:6;63:16;64:18	new (5)	16:7;26:8
measure (1)	16;53:5,8,11;54:2,25;	mostly (1)	7:14;27:17;63:25;	one (41)
32:4	55:6,11,16,18,22;	63:15	64:15;66:8	8:6,21;14:22,23,
measures (2)	56:4,15,21,25;57:18;	motion (17)	next (11)	24;15:12;17:6,9,15,
24:3;26:5	58:15,17	4:4,5,16;37:12,13,	6:17;9:4;13:2;	16;18:17;19:15;
meet (10)	millimeters (1)	25;38:2,4;58:14;	16:21;41:2;50:14;	20:15;21:14;22:16,
22:23;24:12,13;	30:17	68:24;69:5,6,16,19,	61:2;64:14;68:4,16;	20,21,21;25:8;26:6,6;
26:9,10;46:6,16;56:3,	million (1)	25;70:2,13	69:2	27:13,14;28:3,16;
4,5	27:22	mountain (4)	nice (1)	29:17;30:12;31:14,
meeting (14)	mimic (1)	25:3,14;28:10;41:6	54:2	16;32:8;33:6;34:15;
4:3,4;14:7;16:5;	30:20	mounted (1)	Nichol (5)	37:4;45:14;49:14;
17:8;38:8;44:22;	mind (2)	27:23	14:21;15:13,14,17,	55:16,18;56:6,23;
45:5,5;53:2;68:4; 60:2 10 25	47:3;55:23	move (7)	20 Nitro (1)	63:14;68:14
69:2,19,25	minimum (1) 7:7	43:12,13,23;57:22, 22;58:17;66:2	Nitra (1) 61:3	ones (2) 17:13;23:12
meetings (1) 56:24	/:/ minor (4)	22;38:17;00:2 moved (1)		*
50:24 meets (3)	17:4;43:11;44:11;	19:8	nobody's (1) 66:8	ongoing (2) 63:7;64:3
24:9;26:7;46:21	17:4;43:11;44:11; 47:4	moving (2)		
24:9;20:7;40:21 megawatt (1)	missing (1)	27:7;30:25	NOI (1) 32:16	only (10) 6:9;14:12;16:15;
13:4	21:20	much (7)	nonconforming (1)	22:2;36:17;42:7;
			LIOUCOHOLHIIIZ (I)	44.4.JU.1/.44./.
MEMBER (61)	mistaken (1)	9:4;38:6,12;54:12;	65:12	45:2;51:11;55:4;63:3

open (6) 4:4;16:12;17:7;	ownership (2) 62:19;64:12	42:7;50:10,11 permit (20)	33:12;38:11;44:14; 45:24;48:5;50:9	presumably (1) 21:23
61:15,16;66:14	02:19;04:12	13:24;14:6;34:6,8;	43:24;48:3;30:9 pointer (1)	presuming (2)
operation (3)	P	35:11,24;36:3;46:15;	13:18	49:16;55:12
9:9;50:3,6		49:15;56:13,16;	points (1)	pretty (2)
operations (1)	PAGGI (25)	57:21;62:17;63:5;	18:9	25:10;67:7
61:21	6:5;9:10;24:7;25:4,	65:10,13,19;67:18,	pool (2)	previous (4)
opinion (2)	6,16;27:12;29:15;	20;68:12	48:17;49:3	14:7;45:4;61:22,25
22:5;64:10	35:16,22;36:3;44:18,	permits (4)	poor (1)	previously (4)
opportunity (2)	22,24;45:18;46:2,17;	35:23;62:2,4;64:3	23:7	44:6;47:24;48:22;
29:5;50:13	48:7;52:11;53:14,20,	permit's (1)	populates (1)	54:24
opposed (1)	23;54:5,8,21	36:2	21:19	primarily (1)
29:3	paid (1)	Phase (1)	portion (2)	26:21
optional (1)	26:5	33:8	45:24;51:5	prior (2)
47:22	pair (1)	photo (3)	positive (1)	17:19;64:19
order (2)	61:23	14:10,20;16:9	45:8	private (2)
54:10;68:12	pan (1)	photographs (1)	possible (2)	16:8,9
original (3)	30:22	14:9	33:17;43:14	prizes (1)
48:25;64:8,8	panel (1)	pictures (3)	potential (1)	41:11
originally (1) 48:18	14:4 panels (1)	22:18;30:14,15 PILOT (1)	28:6 potentially (2)	probably (9) 7:10,15;8:16;
		37:4	27:18;54:14	
others (2) 22:16;26:21	24:14 paper (1)	place (4)	POWER (28)	17:14;19:21;21:22; 23:8;38:11;54:6
out (38)	68:7	17:21;25:24;26:5;	13:1,2,10;14:1;	problem (3)
9:20;15:13,18;	parcel (1)	45:14	15:1;16:1;17:1;18:1;	25:11;32:3;68:23
17:2,5,6,6,9,20;18:4;	5:9	plan (26)	19:1;20:1;21:1;22:1;	problems (1)
19:8;20:25;21:3;	pardon (1)	5:2;6:6,23;18:13;	23:1;24:1;25:1;26:1;	24:4
22:19,20;23:12;	14:21	28:17,18,20;29:8;	27:1;28:1;29:1;30:1;	process (6)
24:22;26:9,19,21,24;	parking (5)	41:4;43:11;44:3,5;	31:1;32:1;33:1;34:1;	26:24;28:19;29:7;
27:2;28:5,10;29:24;	5:11;7:5,7;9:16,18	45:4;46:17,17,18,20;	35:1;36:1;37:1;38:1	33:17;36:6;64:10
30:5,9,14;36:10,17;	part (10)	47:21,21;48:9,11;	practice (2)	professional (2)
38:2;41:11;45:25;	27:19;28:9,18,20,	56:14,17;63:9;64:9;	25:22;65:16	22:4;29:24
46:7;49:13;66:8;	22;38:4;48:15,18,19;	65:20	practices (2)	project (10)
68:6,22	50:23	Planner (4)	24:19;25:25	13:9;16:2;17:19;
outfall (10)	partially (4)	13:16;16:4,24;	precast (1)	22:14;24:17;27:15;
43:5,5,6;51:17,17;	7:9,9;23:22,22	17:12	14:3	31:25;32:4;36:9;49:2
52:14,15;54:11,13,15	particularly (1)	Planning (16)	precipitation (1)	projector (1)
outlined (2)	41:17	4:3;10:4;13:17;	24:2	13:12
6:6;20:15	passed (1)	16:5,10;18:20;19:21;	pre-COVID (1)	projects (2)
outlines (1) 22:14	53:8	26:20;28:17,24;29:3; 31:6;32:21;35:13;	56:21	17:4;27:14
outside (4)	past (7) 10:5;28:18;30:4;	54:24;61:17	preexisting (2) 44:12;49:24	proper (1) 56:10
19:5;48:13;50:22;	31:6;35:22;62:2;67:6	plans (13)	premised (1)	Properties (7)
52:20	Path (1)	5:23;25:10;27:4;	51:22	4:25;5:7;15:8;61:5,
outstanding (3)	8:25	29:22;44:18,18;45:3,	premising (1)	19;63:15,19
33:24;63:10,14	paved (1)	5;46:24;48:4,8,8;	51:21	property (10)
over (8)	5:11	56:9	prepare (1)	5:2;13:5;16:3,9;
9:7;18:15;19:11;	paying (1)	PLATT (14)	5:22	41:4;50:21;63:14,16;
22:25;29:16;54:17;	45:23	38:9;46:11;55:4,8,	prepared (4)	64:19;66:19
63:16;64:14	pays (1)	15,17,21;57:5,7,9,11,	31:12;32:16;42:22;	proposed (9)
overgrown (1)	47:6	15;68:20;69:3	43:22	4:25;5:18;6:10;
31:18	pencil (2)	Please (2)	present (1)	15:15;41:3;45:10,11;
overhead (2)	17:20;18:3	4:19;69:24	21:10	48:17;61:3
27:22,23	people (7)	Pledge (2)	presentation (2)	proposing (5)
overlooking (1)	50:9;51:23,24;	4:19,20	5:24;27:8	5:14,22;19:6;
8:25	52:17,21,22;57:13	plumbing (1)	presented (2)	47:14;62:8
own (1)	percent (4)	52:7	34:11;41:20	Protection (1)
22:4	7:7;20:18;23:24,24	pm (6)	presenting (1)	41:7
owner (6) 32:2;61:22;63:25;	perform (1) 26:3	10:14;38:13;58:19; 69:22,22;70:14	50:2 preserve (1)	protective (1) 9:9
64:15,19;66:8	performed (1)	point (12)	32:10	9:9 provide (8)
owners (2)	26:4	9:25;10:2;22:6;	press (1)	6:22;15:7,23;
61:21,25	perhaps (3)	28:5;30:12;32:4;	55:14	16:19;24:15;25:17;
01.21,23	Pormaps (o)	20.5,50.12,52.7,	55.11	10.17,21.10,23.17,

	T		1	<u> </u>
28:21;44:24	48:1;49:1;50:1;51:1;	14:19	reports (2)	32:2
provided (6)	52:1;53:1;54:1;55:1;	refer (2)	53:22,23	review (27)
28:3;29:4;41:22;	56:1;57:1;58:1;61:1;	8:9;10:6	represented (1)	8:2,16,17;9:23;
43:19;48:11;50:21	62:1;63:1;64:1;65:1;	referral (3)	43:8	14:15;26:20;27:19;
provider (1)	66:1;67:1;68:1;69:1;	8:7,11;9:12	representing (1)	28:9,20,21;29:2,5,8,
27:16	70:1	referred (1)	5:7	17;32:17,17;33:23;
providing (4)	reach (1)	45:15	requested (1)	35:4;36:18;38:3;
5:18;14:3;16:12;	26:24	refine (1)	14:21	42:20;45:3;53:15;
19:20	reached (2)	14:13	requests (2)	56:9;57:20;64:25;
provision (2)	17:6;27:2	reflect (2)	14:8;16:6	65:17
44:14;67:23	reaching (1)	7:14;44:5	require (10)	reviewed (6)
prudent (1)	26:21	reflected (1)	6:16;7:4,18;14:6;	28:18,24;46:21;
66:12	ready (2)	49:23	25:23;27:15;33:23;	48:24;49:7;53:11
public (14)	43:23;50:11	regarding (2)	45:19;67:18,20	reviewing (1)
24:25;32:20;44:9,	reality (1)	8:6;41:23	required (7)	28:14
12;47:20,22;54:5;	50:23	regardless (2)	7:8;8:2;25:24;	reviews (2)
67:18,21;68:4,13,15,	realize (1)	35:9;47:5	28:7;32:23;54:6;	9:24;33:14
17,25	17:14	regulations (2)	68:10	revise (2)
pulling (1)	really (20)	17:3;24:5	requirement (3)	9:17;48:10
7:2	6:6,9,19;16:8;	regulatory (1)	7:5,6;46:10	revised (3)
purpose (2)	17:16,19;20:20;24:9,	28:7	requirements (3)	13:18,19;35:23
52:21;63:23	20;26:6;29:6;34:4;	reissuance (1)	6:23;7:15;53:3	revising (1)
purposes (3)	35:13;48:3;49:9,21;	57:20	requires (5)	46:22
35:5;42:6;57:20	53:14,20;66:11;	relative (2)	19:12;35:24;46:23;	revision (1)
put (5)	68:17	6:14;42:17	47:9,12	13:24
25:8,9;29:20;32:2;	REALTY (12)	relevant (1)	requiring (1)	revisions (1)
68:7	61:1,3,12;62:1;	47:19	47:7	46:19
putting (3)	63:1;64:1;65:1;66:1;	rely (1)	Residential (2)	revote (1)
32:3;41:15,15	67:1;68:1;69:1;70:1	22:9	13:7;61:7	38:4
32.3,41.13,13	reapproval (3)	remain (1)	resolution (1)	ridge (4)
Q	61:4;62:10,15	31:10	36:8	8:25;15:7;29:19;
<u> </u>	rear (1)	remainder (1)	resolved (1)	41:7
qualified (1)	5:12	16:17	52:23	Right (34)
30:9	reason (3)	remaining (3)	Resource (1)	6:20;8:18,25;9:4;
qualify (1)	8:12;49:17;63:5	36:12;51:11;52:7	30:3	15:5;21:15;22:6,9;
28:11	reasonable (2)	remediated (1)	resources (3)	23:5,8;24:10;26:3;
Quality (2)	20:8;65:25	25:11	8:3,3;33:20	30:20,22;31:6;32:24;
35:4,24	reauthorization (1)	remediation (1)	respect (6)	35:10;36:3;37:11;
quantify (5)	67:17	23:25	42:13,18;43:17;	44:22;45:18,20;
17:22;18:2,16;	reauthorize (1)	remedying (1)	49:19;50:16,22	48:25;50:6;55:24;
20:17;28:11	63:12	66:5	respectfully (1)	64:13;65:18,18;
quickly (1)	reauthorizing (1)	remember (2)	65:2	66:25;67:18;68:2,24;
43:14	64:11	22:13;57:3	respond (1)	69:4,17
quite (2)	recall (1)	remind (1)	43:22	rightfully (1)
17:15;66:9	45:2	4:22	responded (1)	41:20
17.13,00.7	receive (2)	removal (1)	27:20	right-hand (1)
R	16:23;44:25	18:14	responding (1)	51:2
	1 1 1 1 . / . 1 . ++ + . / . 1	10.17		
		render (5)	6.16	right_At_way (4)
raised (3)	received (4)	render (5)	6:16 response (2)	right-of-way (4) 6:10 12:48:11 12
raised (3)	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25;	14:12;16:10,12,16,	response (2)	6:10,12;48:11,12
42:17,19;43:21	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25; 55:11	14:12;16:10,12,16, 21	response (2) 30:24;51:22	6:10,12;48:11,12 rise (1)
42:17,19;43:21 rather (4)	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25; 55:11 recent (1)	14:12;16:10,12,16, 21 renderings (1)	response (2) 30:24;51:22 responses (1)	6:10,12;48:11,12 rise (1) 15:6
42:17,19;43:21 rather (4) 9:13,15;17:13;66:9	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25; 55:11 recent (1) 33:13	14:12;16:10,12,16, 21 renderings (1) 14:12	response (2) 30:24;51:22 responses (1) 29:17	6:10,12;48:11,12 rise (1) 15:6 rises (1)
42:17,19;43:21 rather (4) 9:13,15;17:13;66:9 RE (61)	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25; 55:11 recent (1) 33:13 recessed (1)	14:12;16:10,12,16, 21 renderings (1) 14:12 renew (1)	response (2) 30:24;51:22 responses (1) 29:17 responsible (2)	6:10,12;48:11,12 rise (1) 15:6 rises (1) 47:6
42:17,19;43:21 rather (4) 9:13,15;17:13;66:9 RE (61) 4:1;5:1;6:1;7:1;	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25; 55:11 recent (1) 33:13 recessed (1) 5:17	14:12;16:10,12,16, 21 renderings (1) 14:12 renew (1) 68:12	response (2) 30:24;51:22 responses (1) 29:17 responsible (2) 24:5;25:19	6:10,12;48:11,12 rise (1) 15:6 rises (1) 47:6 River (1)
42:17,19;43:21 rather (4) 9:13,15;17:13;66:9 RE (61) 4:1;5:1;6:1;7:1; 8:1;9:1;10:1;13:1;	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25; 55:11 recent (1) 33:13 recessed (1) 5:17 recited (1)	14:12;16:10,12,16, 21 renderings (1) 14:12 renew (1) 68:12 renewal (1)	response (2) 30:24;51:22 responses (1) 29:17 responsible (2) 24:5;25:19 rest (1)	6:10,12;48:11,12 rise (1) 15:6 rises (1) 47:6 River (1) 22:14
42:17,19;43:21 rather (4) 9:13,15;17:13;66:9 RE (61) 4:1;5:1;6:1;7:1; 8:1;9:1;10:1;13:1; 14:1;15:1;16:1;17:1;	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25; 55:11 recent (1) 33:13 recessed (1) 5:17 recited (1) 4:20	14:12;16:10,12,16, 21 renderings (1) 14:12 renew (1) 68:12 renewal (1) 66:3	response (2) 30:24;51:22 responses (1) 29:17 responsible (2) 24:5;25:19 rest (1) 51:13	6:10,12;48:11,12 rise (1) 15:6 rises (1) 47:6 River (1) 22:14 ROAD (29)
42:17,19;43:21 rather (4) 9:13,15;17:13;66:9 RE (61) 4:1;5:1;6:1;7:1; 8:1;9:1;10:1;13:1; 14:1;15:1;16:1;17:1; 18:1;19:1;20:1;21:1;	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25; 55:11 recent (1) 33:13 recessed (1) 5:17 recited (1) 4:20 recommence (1)	14:12;16:10,12,16, 21 renderings (1) 14:12 renew (1) 68:12 renewal (1) 66:3 Renewals (2)	response (2) 30:24;51:22 responses (1) 29:17 responsible (2) 24:5;25:19 rest (1) 51:13 restricted (1)	6:10,12;48:11,12 rise (1) 15:6 rises (1) 47:6 River (1) 22:14 ROAD (29) 4:1,25;5:1,3;6:1;
42:17,19;43:21 rather (4) 9:13,15;17:13;66:9 RE (61) 4:1;5:1;6:1;7:1; 8:1;9:1;10:1;13:1; 14:1;15:1;16:1;17:1; 18:1;19:1;20:1;21:1; 22:1;23:1;24:1;25:1;	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25; 55:11 recent (1) 33:13 recessed (1) 5:17 recited (1) 4:20 recommence (1) 50:6	14:12;16:10,12,16, 21 renderings (1) 14:12 renew (1) 68:12 renewal (1) 66:3 Renewals (2) 67:22,24	response (2) 30:24;51:22 responses (1) 29:17 responsible (2) 24:5;25:19 rest (1) 51:13 restricted (1) 52:18	6:10,12;48:11,12 rise (1) 15:6 rises (1) 47:6 River (1) 22:14 ROAD (29) 4:1,25;5:1,3;6:1; 7:1;8:1;9:1,19;10:1;
42:17,19;43:21 rather (4) 9:13,15;17:13;66:9 RE (61) 4:1;5:1;6:1;7:1; 8:1;9:1;10:1;13:1; 14:1;15:1;16:1;17:1; 18:1;19:1;20:1;21:1; 22:1;23:1;24:1;25:1; 26:1;27:1;28:1;29:1;	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25; 55:11 recent (1) 33:13 recessed (1) 5:17 recited (1) 4:20 recommence (1) 50:6 recommendation (2)	14:12;16:10,12,16, 21 renderings (1) 14:12 renew (1) 68:12 renewal (1) 66:3 Renewals (2) 67:22,24 replaced (1)	response (2) 30:24;51:22 responses (1) 29:17 responsible (2) 24:5;25:19 rest (1) 51:13 restricted (1) 52:18 resubmitted (1)	6:10,12;48:11,12 rise (1) 15:6 rises (1) 47:6 River (1) 22:14 ROAD (29) 4:1,25;5:1,3;6:1; 7:1;8:1;9:1,19;10:1; 13:5,19;14:22;15:3,5,
42:17,19;43:21 rather (4) 9:13,15;17:13;66:9 RE (61) 4:1;5:1;6:1;7:1; 8:1;9:1;10:1;13:1; 14:1;15:1;16:1;17:1; 18:1;19:1;20:1;21:1; 22:1;23:1;24:1;25:1; 26:1;27:1;28:1;29:1; 30:1;31:1;32:1;33:1;	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25; 55:11 recent (1) 33:13 recessed (1) 5:17 recited (1) 4:20 recommence (1) 50:6 recommendation (2) 22:9;63:7	14:12;16:10,12,16, 21 renderings (1) 14:12 renew (1) 68:12 renewal (1) 66:3 Renewals (2) 67:22,24 replaced (1) 32:2	response (2) 30:24;51:22 responses (1) 29:17 responsible (2) 24:5;25:19 rest (1) 51:13 restricted (1) 52:18 resubmitted (1) 14:14	6:10,12;48:11,12 rise (1) 15:6 rises (1) 47:6 River (1) 22:14 ROAD (29) 4:1,25;5:1,3;6:1; 7:1;8:1;9:1,19;10:1; 13:5,19;14:22;15:3,5, 7,13,14,18;16:19;
42:17,19;43:21 rather (4) 9:13,15;17:13;66:9 RE (61) 4:1;5:1;6:1;7:1; 8:1;9:1;10:1;13:1; 14:1;15:1;16:1;17:1; 18:1;19:1;20:1;21:1; 22:1;23:1;24:1;25:1; 26:1;27:1;28:1;29:1; 30:1;31:1;32:1;33:1; 34:1;35:1;36:1;37:1;	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25; 55:11 recent (1) 33:13 recessed (1) 5:17 recited (1) 4:20 recommence (1) 50:6 recommendation (2) 22:9;63:7 record (1)	14:12;16:10,12,16, 21 renderings (1) 14:12 renew (1) 68:12 renewal (1) 66:3 Renewals (2) 67:22,24 replaced (1) 32:2 report (5)	response (2) 30:24;51:22 responses (1) 29:17 responsible (2) 24:5;25:19 rest (1) 51:13 restricted (1) 52:18 resubmitted (1) 14:14 resulting (1)	6:10,12;48:11,12 rise (1) 15:6 rises (1) 47:6 River (1) 22:14 ROAD (29) 4:1,25;5:1,3;6:1; 7:1;8:1;9:1,19;10:1; 13:5,19;14:22;15:3,5, 7,13,14,18;16:19; 36:10;41:5;50:22,25;
42:17,19;43:21 rather (4) 9:13,15;17:13;66:9 RE (61) 4:1;5:1;6:1;7:1; 8:1;9:1;10:1;13:1; 14:1;15:1;16:1;17:1; 18:1;19:1;20:1;21:1; 22:1;23:1;24:1;25:1; 26:1;27:1;28:1;29:1; 30:1;31:1;32:1;33:1; 34:1;35:1;36:1;37:1; 38:1;41:1;42:1;43:1;	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25; 55:11 recent (1) 33:13 recessed (1) 5:17 recited (1) 4:20 recommence (1) 50:6 recommendation (2) 22:9;63:7 record (1) 63:10	14:12;16:10,12,16, 21 renderings (1) 14:12 renew (1) 68:12 renewal (1) 66:3 Renewals (2) 67:22,24 replaced (1) 32:2 report (5) 30:20;63:8,11;	response (2) 30:24;51:22 responses (1) 29:17 responsible (2) 24:5;25:19 rest (1) 51:13 restricted (1) 52:18 resubmitted (1) 14:14 resulting (1) 14:5	6:10,12;48:11,12 rise (1) 15:6 rises (1) 47:6 River (1) 22:14 ROAD (29) 4:1,25;5:1,3;6:1; 7:1;8:1;9:1,19;10:1; 13:5,19;14:22;15:3,5, 7,13,14,18;16:19; 36:10;41:5;50:22,25; 51:3,5,7;61:5,5
42:17,19;43:21 rather (4) 9:13,15;17:13;66:9 RE (61) 4:1;5:1;6:1;7:1; 8:1;9:1;10:1;13:1; 14:1;15:1;16:1;17:1; 18:1;19:1;20:1;21:1; 22:1;23:1;24:1;25:1; 26:1;27:1;28:1;29:1; 30:1;31:1;32:1;33:1; 34:1;35:1;36:1;37:1;	received (4) 13:15;16:24;54:25; 55:11 recent (1) 33:13 recessed (1) 5:17 recited (1) 4:20 recommence (1) 50:6 recommendation (2) 22:9;63:7 record (1)	14:12;16:10,12,16, 21 renderings (1) 14:12 renew (1) 68:12 renewal (1) 66:3 Renewals (2) 67:22,24 replaced (1) 32:2 report (5)	response (2) 30:24;51:22 responses (1) 29:17 responsible (2) 24:5;25:19 rest (1) 51:13 restricted (1) 52:18 resubmitted (1) 14:14 resulting (1)	6:10,12;48:11,12 rise (1) 15:6 rises (1) 47:6 River (1) 22:14 ROAD (29) 4:1,25;5:1,3;6:1; 7:1;8:1;9:1,19;10:1; 13:5,19;14:22;15:3,5, 7,13,14,18;16:19; 36:10;41:5;50:22,25;

	T		T	T
16:21	57:12	6:13;13:19;17:18;	27:1;28:1;29:1;30:1;	65:18
Robinson (6)	seeking (2)	27:4	31:1;32:1;33:1;34:1;	square (2)
14:25;15:2,3,6,8;	49:13;61:14	shown (1)	35:1;36:1;37:1;38:1	5:10,15
16:19	seem (1)	22:18	solar (4)	STACH (61)
rolling (1)	20:8	side (6)	13:4;20:21;27:14,	6:22;8:9,11,15,19,
36:6	seems (1)	13:21,22,23;15:25;	17	21;9:4,14,18,22;10:2,
rounds (1)	66:2	25:2;51:2	somebody (4)	4,9;18:3,19,24;19:3;
48:8	self-defeating (1)	significant (1)	21:23;22:7;30:5,8	20:2,4,9,24;21:9;
rule (1)	42:9	24:21	someone's (1)	22:24;23:3,5,10,15,
35:20	sell (1)	significantly (1)	16:9	19;29:16;30:24;31:6,
run (15)	61:22	24:16	sometime (1)	19,21;32:12,14,16,
36:4;61:1,3,5,5,11;	selling (1)	signs (1)	50:11	25;33:4,6,13,22;
62:1;63:1;64:1;65:1;	61:23	46:24	sometimes (1)	34:13,17,19,22,25;
66:1;67:1;68:1;69:1;	send (4)	similar (1)	10:5	35:4,10,13;56:12,16;
70:1	34:6,17;35:5;67:12	16:18	somewhere (3)	62:14,18,22,25;63:3;
rush (1)	sense (1)	similarly (1)	45:15;46:13;50:8	64:6;65:15;67:17,20,
36:15	19:21	15:17	soon (1)	23
Ryan (4)	sensitive (3)	simulations (1)	64:22	staff (7)
13:10;14:16,24;	29:18,25;30:11	14:8	sooner (1)	13:17;16:6,11;
15:9	sent (2)	siren (1)	29:10	29:2;43:7;50:10;
13.7	55:3;68:22	42:3	sorry (3)	52:19
S	separate (1)	site (48)	19:25;31:13;36:23	Stagecoach (2)
	8:8	5:2,19;6:13,22;9:2;	sort (7)	16:6,7
same (5)	separately (1)	13:20;14:20;15:4,15,	17:6,9;29:19;30:2,	standard (1)
17:23;27:14;52:17;	61:23	16;16:2,18;17:17;	6;36:14;63:11	26:7
64:14;67:6	septic (1)	18:22;21:11,18;	sorts (1)	standards (1)
SAMUELSON (14)	52:21	22:12;23:23;24:19;	52:2	26:10
5:6,6;8:6,10,14,18,	SEQRA (14)	28:6,18,20;30:6,7,11;	sounds (1)	stands (1)
20;9:3,11,15,21,25;	7:23;8:19,22;9:6;	35:19;41:4;42:21;	68:9	21:2
10:3,10	27:19;28:9;32:17,19;	43:9,11;44:3,5;46:17,	SOURCE (28)	STAROBIN (15)
sauna (1)	33:16;34:19;35:25;	17;47:21,21;52:6,6,7,	13:1,2,10;14:1;	4:8,12;23:21;25:2,
48:23	36:6;38:3;57:25	20,25;56:14,17;62:8;	15:1;16:1;17:1;18:1;	7;37:20;54:4,7,19;
saw (2)	serve (1)	63:8;64:7,9;65:20	19:1;20:1;21:1;22:1;	58:9;69:5,11,19;70:2,
53:23;62:16	34:3	situation (4)	23:1;24:1;25:1;26:1;	8
saying (6)	services (2)	25:15;26:11,13;	27:1;28:1;29:1;30:1;	start (3)
21:13;51:15;52:12,	28:20;29:8	67:6	31:1;32:1;33:1;34:1;	33:8,14;36:5
12,14;53:9	session (1)	six (10)	35:1;36:1;37:1;38:1	started (2)
scale (1)	43:15	22:18;45:15,17;	south (5)	16:7;36:17
13:4	set (2)	46:22;47:15;49:8;	13:21;14:23;15:25;	state (3)
schedule (2)	25:24;63:5	56:2,17;63:17;69:22	41:5;50:25	8:3;35:2;56:2
68:3,14	settle (1)	size (5)	spaces (1)	stated (1)
scheduled (1)	18:20	5:15;18:5,8;21:8,	5:12	5:9
68:10	several (1)	14	speak (1)	stenographer (1)
scope (1)	13:15	sketch (5)	4:22	63:20
36:9	sewage (2)	5:21;6:6;17:20;	SPEAKER (2)	step (3)
screen (2)	42:24;54:14	21:24;29:13	37:5,7	33:20,25;43:23
14:18;15:11	SHAARIE (19)	SKI (14)	special (15)	Steven (1)
scrub (2)	41:1,3;42:1;43:1;	61:1,3,5,5,11;62:1;	56:13,16;61:14,18;	13:3
18:14;22:17	44:1;45:1;46:1;47:1;	63:1;64:1;65:1;66:1;	62:10,17;63:4;65:2,	still (1)
SDS (2)	48:1;49:1;50:1;51:1;	67:1;68:1;69:1;70:1	10,13,19,21;67:17,	66:14
6:13,14	52:1;53:1;54:1;55:1;	slightly (2)	20;68:12	stipulate (1)
season (1)	56:1;57:1;58:1	19:19;44:5	species (3)	54:16
66:24	Shawangunk (1)	slope (3)	18:5,8;21:14	stipulation (1)
Second (11)	41:7	13:22;23:23,24	specific (4)	46:4
4:7,8;37:15,16;	show (10)	small (3)	18:9;24:24;50:19;	stipulations (1)
58:4,5;68:2;69:7,8;	7:3,11,21;18:3;	19:18;46:19;51:5	54:11	53:17
70:4,5	19:13;22:10;29:22;	snap (1)	specify (1)	stockpile (1)
Section (3)	45:5;50:9,10	16:9	18:9	31:5
5:3;13:6;41:5	showed (3)	SOL (28)	speed (1)	stockpiled (3)
security (7)	44:4;48:7,12	13:1,2,10;14:1;	50:14	31:2,23,24
41:16,18;42:18;	showers (2)	15:1;16:1;17:1;18:1;	spiel (1)	stockpiles (1)
44:4;45:21,23;47:5	51:24,24	19:1;20:1;21:1;22:1;	27:8	31:10
seeing (1)	showing (4)	23:1;24:1;25:1;26:1;	spot (1)	stone (9)

7:25 summary (2) 21:10,20 summer (6)	terms (1) 36:14 terrain (2)	69:21 travelled (1) 51:6	26:12;34:2 unavoidable (1) 14:5	V valid (2)
7:25 summary (2)	terms (1)			V
7:25		60.21	26.12.24.2	1/
	27:13;51:9	training (1)	ultimately (2)	
Sullivan (1)	tend (2)	9:7		43:14
16:4	19:7;25:8;51:23	Trail (1)	U	utilized (1)
suggestions (1)	ten (3)	32:9		27:16,16
32:18	4:22;20:25;52:8,9	towns (1)	24;30:3;33:22	utility (2)
42:5 suggesting (1)	30:21 telling (4)	33:3,4,25;46:3; 47:14;69:23	19:3,13,19;21:9, 15;22:7;23:15;28:16,	Usually (4) 18:4;21:16;63:4,5
suggested (1)	telephoto (1)	17:12;26:2,25;32:11;	typically (11)	30:18,20
51:4;68:3	36:11	13:16,16;16:4,23,24;	17:3;24:18	using (2)
9:14;33:7;49:11;	team's (1)	4:3;6:10;7:12;	types (2)	31:8
suggest (5)	7	Town (18)	32:18;34:8;38:2	used (1)
52:21	5:3;13:5;41:5;61:6,	13:20;15:14	7:24;28:13;31:16;	13
sufficient (1)	Tax (5)	toward (2)	Type (6)	62:10;63:11;65:2,10,
49:5	17:21	64:23	61:15,19;62:2;64:14	51:21;52:6;61:14,18;
sudden (1)	tape (1)	touch (1)	19,19;57:3,9,23;	43:6;49:25;50:20;
successional (1) 30:7	tall (2) 22:19;45:7	totally (1) 50:21	41:14,25;43:10;47:8, 9;48:21;50:2;56:6,	use (15) 6:23;13:12;42:6;
27:21	45:21	15:17;57:15	26:8,9,19;35:22;	42:23
substation (1)	talking (1)	top (2)	14:12;16:15;17:12;	usage (1)
41:18;63:9;64:7	4	33:9;62:9	two (24)	13:5
substantially (3)	T	14:13;16:16;17:10;	68:6	Upper (1)
7:11;45:23		5:8;13:10,12;	13:20;22:19,20;	47:13;52:5;56:9
substantial (2)	54:15	tonight (8)	turns (4)	upon (3)
64:24	25:9;42:25;53:2;	35:11;67:11	47:2	27:21,23
48:9;53:5,6;54:24;	system (4)	tomorrow (2)	5:12,18 turning (1)	upgraded (2)
42:22,25;44:3,18,19; 45:3,5;46:21,23;	25:22	69:20	turnaround (2) 5:12,18	upgrade (2) 27:16,17
7:21;24:8;27:3;	55:18 SWPPP (1)	52:2 told (1)	46:7;49:13	7:14;57:20
submitted (17)	swipe (1)	toilets (1)	turn (2)	updated (2)
6:18;38:7	29:20	41:22;55:6,12,12	38:8	56:22;62:2;63:5,21
submittal (2)	swath (1)	today (4)	Tuesday (1)	14;51:2;53:20;55:24;
9:19,22	18:4;19:5	14:10	28:5;32:10	43:18;47:23;50:9,10,
submit (2)	surveys (2)	timing (1)	trying (2)	29:19;30:19;36:3,16;
5:21;13:18;16:22	29:24	68:22	13:22;14:4;26:12	24:25;27:13;28:24;
submission (3)	17:22;20:17,21;	timely (1)	try (3)	14;16:8;19:7;22:17;
31:3;34:19,25	surveyed (4)	38:7	7:2	8:22;13:19,21;15:5,
subject (3)	21:9;22:22,24	timeline (1)	trucks (1)	5:16;7:3,18,20;
64:25	17:17,23;20:24;	21:11	6:25	up (31)
stuff (1)	survey (6)	tied (1)	truck (1)	7:23;8:15
17:18	5:20;7:20;24:15	7:24	7:24	unlisted (2)
study (1)	surface (3)	thresholds (1)	trip (1)	37:2
49:24	23;65:20,24	27:22	13:24	unless (1)
structures (1)	54:5,17;56:10;63:6,	three-phase (1)	trigger (1)	26:11
14:3	45:7;46:3,21;52:11;	17:13	17:17;61:21	unique (1)
24:13 structure (1)	25:12;26:6;29:14; 30:19;32:25;34:20;	33:19;42:4;45:24 three (1)	24;23:13 tried (2)	UNIDENTIFIED (2) 37:5,7
stringent (1)	23:9,14,18;24:18;	thought (3)	2,8,12;22:15,19,23,	10:7
49:5	16:8;18:23;19:2,23;	55:8	14,16,18;20:16;21:2,	unfavorable (1)
strikes (1)	6:11,17,23;7:2;9:9;	though (1)	17:24;18:15;19:10,	50:15
21:19	sure (30)	18:25	trees (16)	undue (1)
straightforward (1)	41:18	third (1)	24;23:16	23:20
25:9,13,21,23	supported (1)	56:5	20:24;21:9,14;22:22,	Understood (1)
24:7,13,15,16,21;	49:21,23	therefore (1)	17:14;18:4,5,8,13;	18:24
5:19,23;6:7;17:3;	support (2)	42:23	tree (11)	undergo (1)
stormwater (13)	6:15;54:6	there'd (1)	7:20;24:15	57:25;64:25
56:6	supply (2)	43:23	treatment (2)	15:15;32:18;45:22;
stop (1)	28:3	Thanks (1)	13:21	7:23;8:19,22;
30:24;31:7,8,10,16, 17,21;32:6,10	61:4,16,17 supplemental (1)	testimony (3) 21:3,4;22:2	14:4 traversing (1)	8:16 under (8)
20.24.21.7.9.10.16	61.4 16 17	tagtimany (2)	14.4	0.16

namming Dour u	T.	T		1001441 11,20
variance (9)	walls (7)	51:12;62:3;65:5	12-1-9.1 (2)	46.16 (1)
7:4;8:7;9:16;	30:25;31:7,8,10,17,	working (3)	61:8,20	41:6
45:19;47:7,9,12,16,	21;32:10	5:25;16:17;65:23	1478 (1)	49.3 (1)
17	wants (1)	works (3)	61:5	41:5
variances (1)	32:6	7:3;32:21;56:11	14th (1)	4D (1)
32:23	warehouse (4)	worth (1)	4:2	20:13
varies (1)	5:2,10,14;9:5	6:9	15 (3)	4th (3)
23:23	warranted (1)	worthwhile (1)	19:12;20:19;21:2	68:21;69:3,4
various (1)	16:14	18:19	18 (5)	00.21,05.0,1
27:23	water (6)	wrapped (1)	43:5;51:17;52:14,	5
regetation (2)	6:15;35:24;42:23;	48:16	15;54:23	
15:5;16:20	52:20;54:5,12	write (2)	180 (1)	5.22 (1)
regetative (2)	way (11)	30:19;67:8	27:22	5:4
13:23;15:25	16:11;19:14;23:10,	writing (2)	18th (1)	50 (1)
			` '	
rehicle (1)	12;28:12;35:19;49:9;	21:24;46:12	43:2	20:18
42:11	51:6;52:18;64:16;	written (1)	199-21H3 (1)	500 (1)
erify (6)	68:21	35:20	20:12	8:2
22:3,5,8,10,12;	weeks (2)	wrong (1)		
65:21	63:17;69:4	16:25	2	6
ersa (1)	welcome (4)	wrote (1)		
45:17	55:22;58:16;61:13;	66:20	2 (1)	63 (1)
ersus (1)	69:18		52:18	41:5
13:21	weren't (1)	Y	2.1 (1)	68.09 (1)
ice (1)	44:18		13:6	13:6
45:17	west (1)	yard (1)	20 (2)	
icinity (1)	50:25	16:9	7:7;19:17	7
51:4	wetland (4)	year (5)	2020 (1)	
riew (2)	13:24;14:4,5,6	43:15;56:18;57:4,	57:7	7:19 (1)
30:16,22	wetlands (3)	9,12	2021 (1)	10:14
iewpoint (1)	13:25;35:9,18	years (8)	49:2	7:30 (1)
15:4	What's (8)	22:19;25:8;31:25;	2023 (1)	69:22
	14:23;19:4,25;		4:3	
views (1)		35:22;56:19,20;		7:54 (1)
16:12	21:10;22:11;49:7;	61:15;64:15	21 (1)	38:13
riewshed (1)	66:4;68:11	yesterday (1)	5:12	72 (1)
15:3	When's (1)	54:25	21.7 (1)	13:6
riolate (1)	69:2	YOSHER (19)	5:4	
65:10	whole (1)	41:1,3;42:1;43:1;	25 (1)	8
riolations (17)	25:2	44:1;45:1;46:1;47:1;	31:25	
62:5,7;63:10,13,14,	who's (4)	48:1;49:1;50:1;51:1;	25-45 (1)	8:17 (1)
24,24;64:2,3,9,19,20;	24:5;25:19;34:3;	52:1;53:1;54:1;55:1;	5:3	58:19
65:9;66:5,11,14,21	41:8	56:1;57:1;58:1	26 (1)	8:29 (1)
rision (2)	wide (1)	, ,	5:3	70:14
30:17,21	30:21	${f Z}$, , , , ,
isited (1)	willing (2)	-	3	9
51:10	61:22;66:13	ZBA (7)		,
risitors (1)	winter (1)	8:7;9:12,24;33:3,4;	30 (3)	0th (1)
52:20	50:4	8:7;9:12,24;33:3,4; 46:9;49:16		9th (1)
		,	23:23;30:17;36:17	57:7
isual (7)	within (12)	Zone (5)	30,000 (1)	
8:24;14:8;15:8,24;	5:4;6:10;8:2;19:4,	5:5;13:7;41:7;61:7,	29:20	
16:13,16;30:14	9;20:17;49:23;51:6,	9	34 (1)	
isuals (4)	7;52:23;61:7,8	zoning (3)	61:20	
15:9,11,24;16:6	without (3)	7:14;10:6;36:13	34.20 (1)	
roting (1)	22:5;52:19;57:15	zoom (1)	61:8	
4:18	wooded (1)	30:21	35,000 (2)	
	19:19		5:10,15	
${f W}$	word (1)	1		1
	51:21		4	
vait (3)	work (13)	1 (4)		-
		5:4;13:6;33:8;41:5	437-438 (1)	
	5:25;17:2.5:18:17:			
9:11;35:10,25	5:25;17:2,5;18:17; 24:11:26:4:27:15:		41.5	
9:11;35:10,25 valk-through (1)	24:11;26:4;27:15;	119.45 (1)	41:5 45 (8)	
9:11;35:10,25 walk-through (1) 66:20	24:11;26:4;27:15; 28:4,8,12,13;66:21;	119.45 (1) 61:6	45 (8)	
9:11;35:10,25 valk-through (1)	24:11;26:4;27:15;	119.45 (1)		